arXiv:math/9902103v3 [math.QA] 17 Mar 2001

INTEGRABLE HIERARCHIES AND WAKIMOTO MODULES

BORIS FEIGIN[1] AND EDWARD FRENKEL[2]

To our teacher D.B. Fuchs on his sixtieth birthday

1. Introduction

In our papers [20, 21] we proposed a new approach to integrable hierarchies of soliton equations and their quantum deformations. We have applied this approach to the Toda field theories and the generalized KdV and modified KdV (mKdV) hierarchies. In this paper we apply our approach to the Ablowitz-Kaup-Newell-Segur (AKNS) hierarchy [1] and its generalizations. In particular, we show that the free field (Wakimoto) realization of an affine algebra [36, 16] naturally appears in the context of the generalized AKNS hierarchies. This is analogous to the appearance of the free field (quantum Miura) realization of a $\mathcal{W}$–algebra in the context of the generalized KdV equations. As an application, we give here a new proof of the existence of the Wakimoto realization.

We also conjecture that all integrals of motion of the generalized AKNS equation can be quantized. In the case of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ the corresponding quantum integrals of motion can be viewed as integrals of motion of a thermal perturbation of the parafermionic conformal field theory [14]. Thus we expect that this deformation, and analogous deformations for arbitrary affine algebras, are integrable, in the sense of Zamolodchikov [37].

Let us first recall the main steps of our analysis of the Toda field theories from [20, 21].

1.1. **Overview of the previous work.** Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be an affine algebra, twisted or untwisted, and $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra, whose Dynkin diagram is obtained by deleting the 0th node of the Dynkin diagram of $\mathfrak{g}$ [31]. Let $\overline{\mathfrak{h}}$ be the Cartan subalgebra of $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ be the corresponding Heisenberg algebra. For $x \in \mathfrak{h}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, denote $x(n) = x \otimes t^n$. Then $\overline{\mathfrak{h}}$ has generators $b_i(n) = \alpha_i(n), i = 1, \dots, \ell, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ (where α_i's are the simple roots of $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$), and $\mathbf{1}$, with the commutation relations

$$[b_i(n), b_j(m)] = n(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)\delta_{n,-m}\mathbf{1}, \qquad [\mathbf{1}, b_i(n)] = 0. \tag{1.1}$$

There is a family of Fock representations $\pi^\nu_\lambda, \nu \in \mathbb{C}, \lambda \in \overline{\mathfrak{h}}^*$, of $\mathfrak{h}$, which are generated by vectors v_λ, such that

$$b_i(n)v_\lambda = 0, n > 0, \qquad b_i(0)v_\lambda = (\lambda, \alpha_i)v_\lambda, \qquad \mathbf{1}v_\lambda = \nu v_\lambda.$$

Introduce a derivation ∂ on π^ν_λ, such that $[\partial, b_i(n)] = -nb_i(n-1)$, $\partial \cdot v_\lambda = \lambda(-1)v_\lambda$, where $\lambda \in \overline{\mathfrak{h}} \simeq \overline{\mathfrak{h}}^*$, and so $\lambda(-1) \in \mathfrak{h}$.

Date: February 1999.

[1]Partially supported by the grants RFBR 99-01-01169, INTAS-OPEN-97-1312.

[2]Partially supported by the Packard Foundation and the NSF.

The module π_0^ν carries a vertex operator algebra (VOA) structure described in [20] (for the definition of VOA, see [5, 26], or Sect. 4 of [20]).

Consider the space $\pi_\lambda[z, z^{-1}]$, and extend the action of ∂ to it by the formula $\partial \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \partial_z$. Denote by $\mathcal{F}_\lambda^\nu$ the quotient of $\pi_\lambda^\nu \otimes \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}]$ by the image of ∂ (total derivatives) and constants, if $\lambda = 0$. The space $\mathcal{F}_0^\nu$ is a Lie algebra, the *local completion* of the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{h}$ [18]. Elements of $\mathcal{F}_0^\nu$ can be interpreted as Fourier components of currents of the VOA π_0^ν [20].

For any $\gamma \in \overline{\mathfrak{h}}^*$ we can define the bosonic vertex operator

$$V_\gamma^\nu(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_\gamma^\nu(n) z^{\nu(\gamma,\lambda)-n} = \tag{1.2}$$

$$T_\gamma z^{\nu(\gamma,\lambda)} \exp\left(-\sum_{n<0} \frac{\gamma(n)z^{-n}}{n}\right) \exp\left(-\sum_{n>0} \frac{\gamma(n)z^{-n}}{n}\right),$$

where $\gamma \in \mathfrak{h}^* \simeq \mathfrak{h}$ and $T_\gamma : \pi_\lambda^\nu \to \pi_{\lambda+\gamma}^\nu$ is such that $T_\gamma \cdot v_\lambda = v_{\lambda+\gamma}$ and $[T_\gamma^\nu, b_i(n)] = 0, n < 0$. Thus, $V_\gamma^\nu(n), n \in \mathbb{Z}$, are well-defined linear operators $\pi_\lambda^\nu \to \pi_{\lambda+\gamma}^\nu$.

Now introduce operators

$$\widetilde{Q}_i^\nu = V_{-\alpha_i}^\nu(1) = \int V_{-\alpha_i}^\nu(z)dz : \pi_0 \to \pi_{-\alpha_i}, \qquad i = 1, \dots, \ell,$$

where $\alpha_i, i = 1, \dots, \ell$, are the simple roots of $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$. These operators are called the *screening operators*. They commute with the action of ∂ and hence give rise to operators $\overline{Q}_i^\nu : \mathcal{F}_0^\nu \to \mathcal{F}_{-\alpha_i}^\nu, i = 1, \dots, \ell$.

The VOA $\mathbf{W}_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ is defined as a vertex operator subalgebra of π_0^ν:

$$\mathbf{W}_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}}) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathrm{Ker}_{\pi_0^\nu} \widetilde{Q}_i^\nu.$$

In [20] we proved that for generic ν, $\mathbf{W}_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ is finitely and freely generated in the following sense. There exist elements W_i^ν in $\mathbf{W}_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ of degrees $d_i+1, i = 1, \dots, \ell$, where d_i is the ith exponent of $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$, such that $\mathbf{W}_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ has a linear basis of lexicographically ordered monomials in the Fourier components $W_i^\nu(n_i), 1 \leq i \leq l, n_i < -d_i$, of the currents $Y(W_i^\nu, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} W_i^\nu(n) z^{-n-d_i-1}$.

The Lie algebra $I_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ of quantum integrals of motion of the Toda theory of $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ (the $\mathcal{W}$–algebra of $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$) is defined as

$$\mathcal{W}_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}}) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathrm{Ker}_{\mathcal{F}_0^\nu} \overline{Q}_i^\nu.$$

We proved in [20] that $I_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ is isomorphic to the quotient of $\mathbf{W}_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})[z, z^{-1}]$ by the total derivatives and constants. In other words, it consists of all Fourier components of currents defined by the VOA $\mathbf{W}_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$. The Lie algebra $I_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ is called the *quantum $\mathcal{W}$–algebra* associated to $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ and is denoted by $\mathcal{W}_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$.

Now let $\alpha_0 = -1/a_0 \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} a_i \alpha_i$ be the element of $\overline{\mathfrak{h}}^*$, corresponding to the affine root of $\mathfrak{g}$; here $a_i, i = 1, \dots, \ell$, are the labels of the Dynkin diagram of $\mathfrak{g}$ [31]. We can define the screening operators corresponding to the 0th root of the affine algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, $\widetilde{Q}_0^\nu : \pi_0^\nu \to \pi_{-\alpha_0}^\nu$ and $\overline{Q}_0^\nu : \mathcal{F}_0^\nu \to \mathcal{F}_{-\alpha_0}^\nu$ in the same way as the operators $\overline{Q}_i^\nu$ and

$\widetilde{Q}_i^\nu, i = 1, \dots, \ell$, which correspond to the simple roots of $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$. The space $I_\nu(\mathfrak{g})$ of *quantum integrals of motion* of the affine Toda theory associated to $\mathfrak{g}$ is

$$I_\nu(\mathfrak{g}) = \bigcap_{i=0}^{\ell} \mathrm{Ker}_{\mathcal{F}_0^\nu} \overline{Q}_i^\nu.$$

Clearly, $I_\nu(\mathfrak{g})$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{W}_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$. Elements of the space $I_\nu(\mathfrak{g})$ can be viewed as integrals of motion of a certain perturbation of the conformal field theory with the $\mathbf{W}_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$–symmetry (see [37, 10, 30, 19]). In [20] we proved that for generic ν this is a commutative Lie algebra linearly spanned by elements of degrees equal to the exponents of $\mathfrak{g}$ modulo the Coxeter number.[1]

In order to prove the above mentioned results we interpreted the spaces $\mathcal{W}_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ and $I_\nu(\mathfrak{g})$ as cohomologies of certain complexes. These complexes were constructed using the Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand (BGG) resolution of the trivial representation of the quantum group $U_q(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ and $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$, respectively, where $q = \exp(\pi i \nu)$. The construction was based on the fact that in a certain sense the operators $\overline{Q}_i^\nu$ satisfy the defining relations of the nilpotent subalgebra of a quantum group – the q–Serre relations [6].

We were able to compute the cohomologies of our complexes for generic ν by computing them in the *classical limit* $\nu \to 0$ [20]. In this limit, the spaces $I_\nu(\mathfrak{g})$ and $I_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ become the spaces $I_0(\mathfrak{g})$ and $I_0(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ of local integrals of motion of the Toda equation associated to $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$, respectively.

In the classical limit $\nu \to 0$, the Fock representation can be identified with the ring of differential polynomials $\mathbb{C}[u_i^{(n)}]_{i=1,\dots,\ell;n\geq 0}$, where $u_i = b_i(-1)$. We have proved in [20, 21] that the classical limits $\overline{Q}_i, i = 0, \dots, \ell$, of the screening operators act on $\mathbb{C}[u_i^{(n)}]_{i=1,\dots,\ell;n\geq 0}$ and generate the pronilpotent subalgebra $\mathfrak{n}_+ \subset \mathfrak{g}$. Moreover, $\mathbb{C}[u_i^{(n)}]_{i=1,\dots,\ell;n\geq 0} \simeq \mathbb{C}[N_+/A_+]$, where N_+ is the Lie group of $\mathfrak{n}_+$ and its *principal* abelian subgroup A_+. The left infinitesimal action of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_+$ on N_+/A_+ coincides with the one defined by the operators $\overline{Q}_i, i = 0, \dots, \ell$.

In particular, the operators $\overline{Q}_i, i = 1, \dots, \ell$, generate the action of the Lie subalgebra $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+ \subset \mathfrak{n}_+$ on $\mathbb{C}[u_i^{(n)}]_{i=1,\dots,\ell;n\geq 0}$, and $\mathbf{W}_0(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ is the ring of invariants of this action. This ring coincides with the ring of $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+$–invariants of $\mathbb{C}[N_+/A_+]$, and we have shown that it is also a ring of differential polynomials $\mathbb{C}[v_i^{(n)}]_{i=1,\dots,\ell;n\geq 0}$. The embedding $\mathbb{C}[v_i^{(n)}] \to \mathbb{C}[u_i^{(n)}]$ is called the generalized Miura transformation.

The quotient of $\mathbb{C}[u_i^{(n)}] \otimes \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}]$ by the total derivatives and constants is the *Heisenberg-Poisson algebra* denoted by $\mathcal{F}_0$. The *classical* $\mathcal{W}$*–algebra* associated to $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$, $I_0(\overline{\mathfrak{g}}) = \mathcal{W}_0(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ is a Poisson subalgebra of $\mathcal{F}_0$, which can be identified with the quotient of $\mathbf{W}_0(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})[z, z^{-1}]$ by the total derivatives and constants.

Next, the space $I_0(\mathfrak{g})$ is identified with the Lie algebra cohomology $H^1(\mathfrak{n}_+, \mathbb{C}[u_i^{(n)}])$. Using the fact that $\mathbb{C}[u_i^{(n)}] \simeq \mathbb{C}[N_+/A_+]$, one shows that $H^*(\mathfrak{n}_+, \pi_0) \simeq \bigwedge^*(\mathfrak{a}_+^*)$, where $\mathfrak{a}_+^*$ is the dual space to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}_+$ of A_+. This way we we proved that $I_0(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq \mathfrak{a}_+^*$ [20].

[1] The intersection of kernels of the operators $\widetilde{Q}_i^\nu, i = 0, \dots, \ell$, is one-dimensional and therefore not interesting

Each element of $I_0(\mathfrak{g})$ gives rise to a hamiltonian vector field on N_+/A_+ and these vector fields the $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$–*mKdV hierarchy* (on the set of functions $u_i(t), i = 1, \dots \ell$). On the other hand, the abelian Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}_-$, which is the opposite of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}_+$, also acts on this homogeneous space from the right by vector fields. In [21] we proved that these two actions coincide.

Finally, these derivations preserve the differential subring $\mathbb{C}[v_i^{(n)}] = \mathbf{W}_0(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$. The corresponding equations on the set of functions $v_i(t), i = 1, \dots \ell$, form the $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$–*KdV hierarchy*.

1.2. **The present work.** In this paper we apply the same approach when the principal abelian subgroup A_+ of N_+ is replaced by the *homogeneous* abelian subgroup H_+. In this case we have a structure, which is very similar to what we have in the principal case. This is illustrated by the following table.

	Principal Case	Homogeneous Case
Differential polynomials	$\mathbb{C}[u_i^{(n)}]$	$\mathbb{C}[p_\alpha^{(n)}, q_\alpha^{(n)}, u_i^{(n)}]$
Homogeneous space	N_+/A_+	N_+/H_+
Screening operators	$\int e^{-\phi_i} dz$	$\int \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_+} P^R_{\alpha_i,\beta}(q) p_\beta e^{-\phi_i} dz$
Non-local equations	(affine) Toda equation	equations (5.5), (8.3)
$I_0(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$	classical $\mathcal{W}$–algebra $\mathcal{W}_0(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$	$\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}_1^*]_{\mathrm{loc}}$
$I_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$	$\mathcal{W}$–algebra $\mathcal{W}_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$	$U_k(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathrm{loc}}, k = -h^\vee + \nu^{-1}$
Embedding $I_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}}) \subset \mathcal{F}_0^\nu$	Miura transformation	Wakimoto realization
$I_0(\mathfrak{g})$	mKdV hamiltonians	mAKNS hamiltonians
Symmetries of the non-local equation	mKdV hierarchy	mAKNS hierarchy
Local equations in $I_0(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$	KdV hierarchy	AKNS hierarchy

Thus, the starting point of our approach is always a Heisenberg–Poisson algebra and a pair of *non-local* equations, which possess local integrals of motion (or symmetries) in this Heisenberg–Poisson algebra. Both equations are generated by the screening operators corresponding to the simple roots of $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ or $\mathfrak{g}$. The action of the Poisson bracket with each of these terms on the ring of differential polynomials coincides with the action of the corresponding generator of the pronilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$ on the homogeneous space of N_+. This fact allows us to interpret the local integrals of motion as cohomologies of this Lie algebra.

In the principal case, the Poisson algebra of local integrals of motion of the non-local equation associated to $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ (the $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$–Toda equation) is the classical $\mathcal{W}$–algebra $\mathcal{W}_0(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$, and the local integrals of motion of the non-local equation corresponding to $\mathfrak{g}$ (affine Toda equation) are the hamiltonians of the $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$– mKdV hierarchy. The embedding of $\mathcal{W}_0(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ into the Heisenberg–Poisson algebra is the generalized Miura transformation. The equations of the mKdV hierarchy, written in terms of $\mathcal{W}_0(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$, become the equations of the $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$–KdV hierarchy.

In the homogeneous case, the role of $\mathcal{W}_0(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ is played by the classical affine algebra, i.e., the Poisson algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}_1^*]_{\mathrm{loc}}$ of local functionals on a hyperplane in $\mathfrak{g}^*$. The role of the $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$–mKdV hierarchy is played by a modified AKNS hierarchy for $\overline{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$ and its

generalization for other $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$, which we call the $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$–*mAKNS hierarchy*. The embedding of $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}_1^*]_{\mathrm{loc}}$ into the Heisenberg–Poisson algebra is the Poisson analogue of the Wakimoto realization. Written in terms of $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}_1^*]_{\mathrm{loc}}$, the $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$–mAKNS hierarchy becomes what we call the $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$–*AKNS hierarchy*.

The next step is to quantize the classical integrals of motion. In the principal case, this was done in [20]. Here we prove the existence of the quantum integrals of motion of the non-local equation corresponding to $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ in the homogeneous case. This gives us an embedding of $\mathfrak{g}$ into a Heisenberg algebra, analogous of the embedding of the $\mathcal{W}$–algebra $\mathcal{W}_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ into $\mathcal{F}_0^\nu$. This free field realization of $\mathfrak{g}$ is nothing but the Wakimoto realization, which has been previously defined in [36] for $\overline{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$ and in [16] for general $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$. Thus we obtain a new proof of the Wakimoto realization of affine algebras. The original construction of the Wakimoto realization has been geometric in nature, see [16]. In this paper we give another perspective on the Wakimoto realization, which comes from the theory of integrable hierarchies of soliton equations. This confirms to the general philosophy of "free field realization" outlined in [24].

Finally, we conjecture that all classical integrals of motion of the non-local equation corresponding to $\mathfrak{g}$ can be quantized.

The main results of this paper have been obtained during our visit to Kyoto University in the Summer of 1993, and the present paper is an expanded version of a draft written in the Fall of 1994. In the mean time, some aspects of the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ case of our program have been considered in [2]. We also became aware of the interesting papers [32], in which integrable hierarchies similar to ours have been considered, from a different point of view.

The program outlined above has been further developed in [11, 12, 25]. In [4] the results of [21] have been interpreted geometrically in terms of moduli spaces of bundles, thus allowing a uniform treatment of the integrable hierarchies associated to arbitrary maximal abelian (or Heisenberg) subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$.

2. Wakimoto realization

Let $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ be a simple Lie algebra with the Cartan decomposition $\overline{\mathfrak{g}} = \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+ \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_-$, where $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_-$ are the upper and lower nilpotent subalgebras, respectively, and $\overline{\mathfrak{h}}$ is its Cartan subalgebra.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the affine algebra, corresponding to $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$: the universal central extension of the loop algebra $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}[t, t^{-1}]$.

Introduce the Heisenberg algebra $\mathcal{H}(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$, which has generators $a_\alpha(n), a_\alpha^*(n), \alpha \in \Delta_+, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, where Δ_+ is the set of positive roots of $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$, and $\mathbf{1}$. The commutation relations read:

$$[a_\alpha(n), a_\beta^*(m)] = \delta_{\alpha,\beta}\delta_{n,-m}\mathbf{1}, \qquad [a_\alpha(n), a_\beta(m)] = 0, \qquad [a_\alpha^*(n), a_\beta^*(m)] = 0,$$

and $\mathbf{1}$ commutes with everything.

For $\nu \neq 0$, denote by M the Fock representation of $\mathcal{H}(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$, which is generated from the vacuum vector v satisfying

$$a_\alpha(n)v = 0, \quad n \geq 0; \qquad a_\alpha^*(n)v = 0, \quad n > 0,$$

and on which the central element $\mathbf{1}$ acts as the identity.

The space M is a vertex operator algebra (VOA) [5, 26]. We will give an explicit formula for the currents $Y(\cdot,z)$ defined by this VOA.

Monomials $a_{\alpha_1}(m_1)\dots a_{\alpha_k}(m_k)a^*_{\beta_1}(n_1)\dots a^*_{\beta_l}(n_l)v, m_p < 0, n_q \leq 0$, form a linear basis in M. The series $Y(\cdot,z)$ associated to this monomial is given by

$$C\ :\partial_z^{-m_1-1}a_{\alpha_1}(z)\dots\partial_z^{-m_k-1}a_{\alpha_k}(z)\partial_z^{-n_1}a^*_{\beta_1}(z)\dots\partial_z^{-n_l}a^*_{\beta_l}(z):,$$

where $C = [(-m_1-1)!\dots(-m_1-1)!(-n_1)!\dots(-n_l)!]^{-1}$, columns stand for normal ordering, and

$$a_\alpha(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} a_\alpha(n)z^{-n-1}, \qquad a^*_\beta(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} a^*_\beta(n)z^{-n}. \tag{2.1}$$

The Fourier coefficients of currents of this VOA form a Lie algebra, which lies in a certain completion of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathcal{H}(\overline{\mathfrak{g}}))$ factored by the ideal generated by $(\mathbf{1}-1)$, respectively. Following [18], we call this Lie algebra the *local completion* of the universal enveloping algebra and denote it by $U(\mathcal{H}(\overline{\mathfrak{g}}))_{\mathrm{loc}}$.

Denote by $L\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+$ the Lie subalgebra $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+[t,t^{-1}]$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. Consider the Lie group LN_+ of $L\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+$. It consists of polynomial maps from the circle to the nilpotent subgroup $\overline{N}_+$ of G.

The Lie group $\overline{N}_+$ is isomorphic to its Lie algebra $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+$ via the exponential map. This allows us to introduce coordinates $x_\alpha, \alpha\in\Delta_+$, on $\overline{N}_+$, such that x_α has weight α with respect to the natural action of the Cartan subgroup $H\subset G$, which is the Lie group of $\overline{\mathfrak{h}}$. Denote the vector field corresponding to the left (respectively, right) action of a generator e_α of $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+$ on $\overline{N}_+$ by e^L_α (respectively, e^R_α). In coordinates x_β they are given by:

$$e^L_\alpha = \sum_{\beta\in\Delta_+} P^L_{\alpha,\beta}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\beta}, \qquad e^R_\alpha = \sum_{\beta\in\Delta_+} P^R_{\alpha,\beta}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\beta}, \tag{2.2}$$

where $P^L_{\alpha,\beta}$ and $P^R_{\alpha,\beta}$ are certain polynomials in $x_\gamma, \gamma\in\Delta_+$ of degree $\beta-\alpha$.

Coordinates $x_\alpha, \alpha\in\Delta_+$, on the group $\overline{N}_+$ give us coordinates $x_\alpha(n), \alpha\in\Delta_+, n\in\mathbb{Z}$, on the group $L\overline{N}_+$. Denote $a^*_\alpha(n) = x_\alpha(-n), a_\alpha(n) = \partial/\partial x_\alpha(n)$. These operators generate the Heisenberg algebra $\mathcal{H}(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$. We have two commuting infinitesimal actions of $L\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+$ on $L\overline{N}_+$ by vector fields: left and right.

Explicitly, we have

$$e^L_\alpha(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} e^L_\alpha(n)z^{-n-1} = \sum_{\beta\in\Delta_+} P^L_{\alpha,\beta}(z)a_\beta(z), \tag{2.3}$$

$$e^R_\alpha(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} e^R_\alpha(n)z^{-n-1} = \sum_{\beta\in\Delta_+} P^R_{\alpha,\beta}(z)a_\beta(z), \tag{2.4}$$

where $P^L_{\alpha,\beta}(z)$ and $P^R_{\alpha,\beta}(z)$ are obtained from the polynomials $P^L_{\alpha,\beta}$ and $P^R_{\alpha,\beta}$, respectively, by replacing $x_\gamma, \gamma\in\Delta_+$, by $a^*_\gamma(z)$, and we use the notation $e_\alpha(n) = e_\alpha\otimes t^n$.

These formulas define two embeddings $L\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+ \to U(\mathcal{H}(\overline{\mathfrak{g}}))_{\mathrm{loc}}$ and hence two commuting actions of the Lie algebra $L\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+$ on the space M.

Remark 2.1. We can define these actions in a coordinate independent way.

Introduce the Lie algebra $D(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+)$. It has generators $y^R(n), y \in \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $P(n), P \in \mathbb{C}[\overline{N}_+], n \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\mathbb{C}[\overline{N}_+]$ stands for the ring of regular functions on $\overline{N}_+$. If we choose coordinates x_α on $\overline{N}_+$, then $\mathbb{C}[\overline{N}_+] \simeq \mathbb{C}[x_\alpha]_{\alpha\in\Delta_+}$. There are the following relations:

$$[y_1^R(n_1), y_2^R(n_2)] = [y_1, y_2]^R(n_1+n_2), \qquad [y^R(n), P(m)] = [y\cdot P](n+m),$$

where $y \cdot P$ denotes the action of $y \in \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+$ on $P \in \mathbb{C}[\overline{N}_+]$ by vector field from the right, and

$$[P(n), Q(m)] = 0, \qquad P(n) = \sum_{n_1+n_2=n} P_1(n_1)P_2(n_2),$$

if $P = P_1P_2$ in $\mathbb{C}[\overline{N}_+]$. If we choose coordinates on $\overline{N}_+$, the Lie algebra $D(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+)$ becomes isomorphic to the Heisenberg algebra $\mathcal{H}(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$.

The linear span of $y^R(n), y \in \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+, n \geq 0$, and $P(n), P \in \mathbb{C}[\overline{N}_+], n > 0$, is a Lie subalgebra $D_+(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+)$ of $D(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+)$. The module M over $D(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+)$ can be defined as the module induced from the trivial one-dimensional representation $\mathbb{C}v$ of $D_+(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+)$.

The correlation functions, i.e. matrix elements of the currents

$$y^R(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} y^R(n) z^{-n-1}, \qquad P(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} P(n) z^{-n}$$

can also be expressed in terms of action of $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+$ on $\mathbb{C}[\overline{N}_+]$ in a coordinate independent way. These correlation functions uniquely determine vertex algebra structure on M.

One obtains, e.g., the following formula (compare with [33, 3]):

$$\left\langle v^*, \prod_{s=1}^m e_{i_s}^R(w_s) \prod_{j=1}^N P_j(z_j) v \right\rangle = \sum_{p=(I^1,\dots,I^N)} \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{\jmath(e_{i_{a_j}^j}^R \dots e_{i_1^j}^R P_{X_j})}{(w_{i_1^j} - w_{i_2^j}) \dots (w_{i_{a_j}^j} - z_j)},$$

where the summation is taken over all *ordered* partitions $I^1 \cup I^2 \cup \ldots \cup I^N$ of the set $\{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$, where $I^j = \{i_1^j, i_2^j, \dots, i_{a_j}^j\}$, and $\jmath$ is the augmentation homomorphism $\mathbb{C}[\overline{N}_+] \to \mathbb{C}$.

We note that the construction described above assigns a vertex algebra to an arbitrary affine algebraic group in place of $\overline{N}_+$. □

Now put $W_\lambda^\nu = M \otimes \pi_\lambda^\nu$, where π_λ^ν was defined in the Introduction. The space W_0^ν is a VOA, the tensor product of the VOAs M and π_0^ν. We can extend the action of ∂ to $W_\lambda^\nu[z, z^{-1}]$ by the formula $\partial \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \partial_z$. Denote by $\overline{W}_\lambda^\nu$ the quotient of $W_\lambda^\nu \otimes \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}]$ by the total derivatives and constants, if $\lambda = 0$. The space $\overline{W}_\lambda^\nu$ is a Lie algebra, which is isomorphic to $U_\nu(\mathcal{H}(\overline{\mathfrak{g}}) \oplus \mathfrak{h})_{\mathrm{loc}}$. Introduce operators $D_i^\nu(n) : W_\lambda^\nu \to W_{\lambda-\alpha_i}^\nu, i = 1, \dots, \ell$, by the formula

$$D_i^\nu(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} D_i^\nu(n) z^{-n-\nu(\alpha_i,\lambda)} = e_i^R(z) V_{-\alpha_i}^\nu(z), \tag{2.5}$$

where $V_{-\alpha_i}^\nu(z)$ is given by formula (1.2).

Put

$$G_i^\nu = D_i^\nu(1) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} e_i^R(n) V_{-\alpha_i}^\nu(-n), \qquad G_i^\nu : W_0^\nu \to W_{-\alpha_i}^\nu.$$

This operator is called the ith screening operator. It commutes with the action of ∂. We denote by $\overline{G}_i^\nu$ the induced operator $\overline{W}_0^\nu \to \overline{W}_{-\alpha_i}^\nu, i = 1, \dots, \ell$.

Denote

$$K_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}}) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathrm{Ker}_{W_0^\nu} G_i^\nu, \qquad J_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}}) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathrm{Ker}_{\overline{W}_0^\nu} \overline{G}_i^\nu.$$

According to Lemma 4.2.8 from [20], $K_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ is a vertex algebra, and $J_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ is a Lie algebra.

Let $V_k, k \in \mathbb{C}$, be the VOA of the affine algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Recall that as a vector space

$$V_k = U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\overline{\mathfrak{g}}[t] \oplus \mathbb{C}K)} \mathbb{C}_k,$$

where $\mathbb{C}_k$ stands for the trivial one-dimensional representation of the Lie subalgebra $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}[t]$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, on which K acts by multiplication by k. Its $\mathbb{Z}$–grading is inherited from the standard $\mathbb{Z}$–grading on $\mathfrak{g}$, such that $\deg A(n) = -n, \deg K = 0$, cf. [18, 27].

The Fourier coefficients of currents of the VOA V_k form a Lie algebra $U_k(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathrm{loc}}$, the local completion of the universal enveloping algebra at level k, $U_k(\mathfrak{g}) = U(\mathfrak{g})/(K - k)U(\mathfrak{g})$.

Theorem 1. *For generic ν, the vertex algebra $K_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ is isomorphic to the VOA V_k of the affine algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, and $J_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}}) \simeq U_k(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathrm{loc}}$, where $k = -h^\vee + \nu^{-1}$, $h^\vee$ being the dual Coxeter number of $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$.*

The proof of this theorem is analogous to the proof of Theorem 4.5.9 from [20]. We construct a family of complexes $C_\nu^*(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ depending on the parameter ν, whose 0th cohomology is $K_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ and which has a well-defined *classical limit*, when $\nu \to 0$. We then show that in this limit all higher cohomologies of the complex vanish and the 0th cohomology can be identified with the limit of the VOA V_k when $k \to \infty$. This will allow us to compute the cohomology of the complex $C_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ for generic ν and identify $K_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ with the VOA V_k.

Example. We give here an explicit realization of the kernel of the screening operator G_1 in the case when $\overline{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$ (for generic ν). In the following formulas we suppress the index 1. Let $\{e, h, f\}$ be the standard basis of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$. Set

$$e(z) = a(z), \qquad h(z) = -2 : a(z)a^*(z) : + \frac{1}{\nu} b(z),$$

$$f(z) = - : a(z)a^*(z)a^*(z) : + (-2 + \nu^{-1})\partial_z a^*(z) + \frac{1}{\nu} b(z)a^*(z). \tag{2.6}$$

These formulas first appeared in [36]. The Fourier coefficients of the above series satisfy the relations in $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ with $k = -2 + \nu^{-1}$. Moreover, the generating vector of W_0^ν is annihilated by all non-negative Fourier coefficients. Therefore we obtain a homomorphism $V_k \to W_0^\nu$. It is known that V_k is irreducible for generic k. Hence for generic ν the above homomorphism is injective. On the other hand, it is shown in [17] that the generating series above commute with the screening operator

$$G_1^\nu = -\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a(n) V_{-\alpha_1}^\nu(-n) : W_0^\nu \to W_{-2}^\nu.$$

Therefore the image of V_k in W_0^ν lies in the kernel of G_1. The calculation of the characters given below proves that V_k is equal to the kernel of G_1.

In [16, 17] we generalized the above construction to the case of an arbitrary simple Lie algebra $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$. The commutativity with the screening operators was proved in [23, 22]. This gives us a proof of Theorem 1. In what follows, we will give an alternative proof of this theorem.

3. The complex

The jth group $C_\nu^j(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ of our complex is

$$C_\nu^j(\overline{\mathfrak{g}}) = \oplus_{l(s)=j} W^\nu_{s(\rho)-\rho},$$

where s belongs to the Weyl group, $l(s)$ is its length, and $\rho \in \overline{\mathfrak{h}}^*$ is the half-sum of positive roots of $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$.

The construction of the differentials of the complex $C_\nu^*(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ follows closely the construction of the differentials of the complex $F_\nu^*(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ from [20], Sect. 4.5 (where β^2 played the role of ν).

Let $p = (p_1, \dots, p_m)$ be a permutation of the set $(1, 2, \dots, m)$. We define a contour of integration C_p in the space $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^m$ with the coordinates $z_1, \dots, z_m$ as the product of one-dimensional contours along each of the coordinates, going counterclockwise around the origin starting and ending at the point $z_i = 1$, and such that $|z_{p_1}| > |z_{p_2}| > \ldots > |z_{p_m}|$ whenever $z_i \neq 1$.

Denote by $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$ a sequence of numbers from 1 to l, such that $i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \ldots \leq i_m$. We can apply a permutation p to this sequence to obtain another sequence $p(\mathbf{i}) = (i_{p(1)}, \dots, i_{p(m)})$. Put $\gamma = \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{i_j}$. Let us define an operator $D^\nu_{p(\mathbf{i})}$ from W^ν_λ to $W^\nu_{\lambda-\gamma}$ as the integral

$$\int_{C_p} dz_1 \dots dz_m \; D_{i_{p(1)}}(z_1) \dots D_{i_{p(m)}}(z_m) =$$

$$\int_{C_p} dz_1 \dots dz_m \prod_{1\leq k<l\leq m} (z_k - z_l)^{\nu(\alpha_{i_k}, \alpha_{i_l})} \prod_{1\leq k\leq m} z_k^{\nu(\lambda, \alpha_{i_k})} : \widetilde{V}^\nu_{-\alpha_{i_1}}(z_1) \dots \widetilde{V}^\nu_{-\alpha_{i_m}}(z_m) : \cdot$$

$$\cdot \mathcal{E}_{i_1,\dots,i_m}(z_1, \dots, z_m),$$

where

$$\widetilde{V}^\nu_{-\alpha_i}(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} V^\nu_{-\alpha_i}(n) z^{-n},$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}_{i_1,\dots,i_m}(z_1, \dots, z_m) = e^R_{i_1}(z_1) \dots e^R_{i_m}(z_m).$$

The latter can be rewritten using Wick's formula as a linear combination of normally ordered products of currents $a_\alpha(z)$ and $a^*_\alpha(z), \alpha \in \Delta_+$, multiplied by rational functions in $z_1, \dots, z_m$, which have poles only on the diagonals $z_i = z_j$.

$D^\nu_{p(\mathbf{i})}$ is a linear operator from W^ν_λ to the completion $\widehat{W}^\nu_{\lambda+\gamma}$ of $W^\nu_{\lambda+\gamma}$. Note that this operator is uniquely defined by the sequence $\mathbf{j} = p(\mathbf{i})$, and so we can denote it by $D^\nu_{\mathbf{j}}$.

We choose the branch of a power function appearing in the integral, which takes real values for real z_i's ordered so that $z_{j_1} > z_{j_2} > \ldots > z_{j_m}$. Thus, C_p should be

viewed as an element of the group of relative m-chains in $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^m$ modulo the diagonals, with values in the one-dimensional local system $\xi_{\mathbf{j}}$, which is defined by the multi-valued function

$$\prod_{1\le k<l\le m}(z_k-z_l)^{-\nu(\alpha_{i_k},\alpha_{i_l})}\prod_{1\le k\le m}z_k^{-\nu(\lambda,\alpha_{i_k})}.$$

Our integral is well-defined for generic values of ν over any such relative chain. Indeed, the integral

$$\int_C dz_1\dots dz_m\prod_{1\le k<l\le m}(z_k-z_l)^{\mu_{kl}}\prod_{1\le k\le m}z_k^{\nu_k}$$

over such a chain C converges in the region $\mathrm{Re}\mu_{kl}\ge 0$, and can be analytically continued to other values of μ_{kl}, which do not lie on hyperplanes

$$\sum_{k,\ell\in S,k<l}\mu_{kl}=-s,\qquad s\in\mathbb{Z},s\ge(\#S)-1, \tag{3.1}$$

where S is a subset of the set $\{1,2,\dots,m\}$, cf. [35], Theorem (10.7.7), for details.

For generic ν the exponents in our integral do not lie on those hyperplanes. Indeed, an expression of the form

$$\sum_{1\le k<l\le r}\nu(\alpha_{j_k},\alpha_{j_l})$$

can take integral value for generic ν, if and only if

$$\sum_{1\le k<l\le r}(\alpha_{j_k},\alpha_{j_l})=0. \tag{3.2}$$

If this is the case, for our integral to converge, the rational functions appearing in $\mathcal{E}_{j_1,\dots,j_r}(z_1,\dots,z_r)$ should not have poles on the diagonals of combined order $r-1$ or more.

In the case when $\alpha_{j_1}=\alpha_{j_2}=\dots=\alpha_{j_{r-1}}=\alpha_i$, and $\alpha_{j_r}=\alpha_j$, this fact follows from the Serre relations:

$$(\mathrm{ad}\,e_i^R)^{-a_{ij}+1}\cdot e_j(m)=0,$$

which the operators e_i^R satisfy. Indeed, in order to satisfy (3.2), we should put $r=-2(\alpha_i,\alpha_j)/(\alpha_i,\alpha_i)+2$. But the Serre relations imply that the coefficients of $\mathcal{E}_{i,\dots,i,j}(z_1,\dots,z_r)$ are rational functions in $z_1,\dots,z_r$, whose combined poles have combined order less than or equal to $-a_{ij}=-2(\alpha_i,\alpha_j)/(\alpha_i,\alpha_i)<r-1$. Therefore, the equation (3.1) can not hold in this case.

In general we have to show that if (3.2) holds then any commutator of the form $[e_{m_1},[e_{m_2},[...,e_{m_r}]\dots]]$, where $(m_1,\dots,m_r)$ is a permutation of the set $(j_1,\dots,j_r)$, vanishes. This is indeed the case, since $\gamma=\sum_{k=1}^r\alpha_{j_r},r>1$, can not be a root of $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$, if (3.2) holds.

The proof, which works for an arbitrary Kac-Moody algebra with a symmetrizable Cartan matrix, was communicated to us by V. Kac (cf. [31]): the equation (3.2) can be rewritten as $2(\rho,\gamma)=(\gamma,\gamma)$. This equation can not hold for imaginary roots, because then $(\gamma,\gamma)\le 0$ and $(\rho,\gamma)>0$. If γ is real, i.e. $\gamma=w\cdot\alpha_i$ for a simple root α_i and an element w of the Weyl group of $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$, then we obtain $2(\rho,w\cdot\alpha_i)=(\alpha_i,\alpha_i)$. This is true for $w=1$, but each reflection from the Weyl group increases the left hand side

because $w \cdot \alpha_i > 0$ by assumption while the right hand side remains the same. Hence this equality can not hold for $w \neq 1$.

This shows that our integrals are well-defined.

We can interpret the operator $D^\nu_{\mathbf{j}}$ as a composition operator $G^\nu_{j_1} \dots G^\nu_{j_m}$, cf. [20], Sect. 4.5.3. The operators G^ν_j satisfy the q–Serre relations, where $q = \exp(\pi i \nu)$, in the following sense [6] (see also [7, 20]).

Consider a free algebra A with generators $g_i, i = 1, \dots, \ell$. We can assign to each monomial $g_{j_1} \dots g_{j_m}$ the contour $C_{\mathbf{j}}$, where $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_m)$, and hence the operator $D^\nu_{\mathbf{j}}$. This gives us a map Δ from A to the space of linear combinations of such contours. Given such a linear combination C, we define D^ν_C as the linear combination of the corresponding operators $D^\nu_{C_{\mathbf{j}}}$.

Consider the two-sided ideal S_q in A, which is generated by the q-Serre relations $(\mathrm{ad} g_i)_q^{-a_{ij}+1} \cdot g_j, i \neq j$, where $q = \exp(\pi i \nu)$.

Lemma 1. *If C belongs to $\Delta(S_q)$, then $D^\nu_C = 0$.*

The proof is given in [7] (note however that in that paper the question of convergence of integrals was not addressed). It is based on rewriting the integrals over the contours $C_{\mathbf{j}}$ as integrals over other contours, where all variables are on the unit circle with some ordering of their arguments.

Lemma 1 means that the operators G^ν_i "satisfy" the q–Serre relations of $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$. Thus, we obtain a well-defined map, which assigns to each element P of the algebra $U_q(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+) \simeq A/S_q$ the operator D^ν_P.

Lemma 2. *Let $P \in U_q(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_-)$ be such that $P \cdot \mathbf{1}_\lambda$ is a singular vector of weight $\lambda + \gamma$ in the Verma module M^q_λ of highest weight λ over $U_q(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$. Then the operator D^ν_P is a homogeneous linear operator $W^\nu_\lambda \to W^\nu_{\lambda+\gamma}$, which commutes with the action of ∂.*

The proof is given in [20], Sect. 4.5.6.

We are ready now to define the differentials $\delta^j_\nu : C^j_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}}) \to C^{j+1}_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ of the quantum complex $C^*_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$. Recall that for any pair s, s' of elements of the Weyl group of $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ there exists a singular vector $P^q_{s',s} \cdot v^q_{s(\rho)-\rho}$ of weight $s'(\rho) - \rho$ in the Verma module $M^q_{s(\rho)-\rho}$, cf. [20], Sect. 4.4.5. We put:

$$\delta^j_\nu = \sum_{l(s)=j, l(s')=j+1, s \prec s'} \epsilon_{s',s} \cdot D^\nu_{P^q_{s',s}}, \tag{3.3}$$

where $q = \exp(\pi i \nu)$, and $\epsilon_{s',s} = \pm 1$ are signs chosen in a special way. By Lemma 2, the differentials δ^j_ν are well-defined homogeneous linear operators. From the nilpotency of the differential of the quantum BGG resolution, cf. [20], Sect. 4.4.6, and Lemma 1 we derive that these differentials are nilpotent: $\delta^{j+1}_\nu \delta^j_\nu = 0$.

Thus, we have constructed a family of complexes $C^*_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$. We have: $C^0_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}}) = W^\nu_0, C^1_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}}) = \oplus_{i=1}^\ell W^\nu_{-\alpha_i}$, and $\delta^0 : C^0_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}}) \to C^1_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ is given by the sum of the operators $G^\nu_i : W^\nu_0 \to W^\nu_{-\alpha_i}$. Therefore the 0th cohomology of the complex $C^*_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ is nothing but the VOA $K_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$.

Since the differentials of the complex $C^*_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ commute with the action of ∂, we can form the double complex

$$\mathbb{C} \longrightarrow C^*_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}}) \xrightarrow{\pm\partial} C^*_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

The 0th cohomology of the total complex $\widehat{C}^*_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ of this double complex is the space $J_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$.

In order to compute the cohomologies of the complexes $C^*_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ and $\widehat{C}^*_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$, we will study their *classical limit* $\nu \to 0$.

Remark 3.1. Let $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ be an arbitrary symmetrizable Kac-Moody algebra, and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l$ be the set of simple roots of $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$. Consider a set of homogeneous linear operators $X_i(n), i = 1, \dots, \ell; n \in \mathbb{Z}$, acting on a $\mathbb{Z}$–graded linear space M, so that $\deg X_i(n) = -n$. Define the series $X_i(z)V^\nu_{-\alpha_i}(z), i = 1, \dots, \ell$, of linear operators acting from $M \otimes \pi^\nu_\gamma$ to $M \otimes \pi^\nu_{\gamma-\alpha_i}$. We can define integrals of products of these operators in the same way as above. Our proof of convergence above applies in the general case as well, and it shows that these integrals converge and Lemma 1 holds, if and only if $X_i(n)$'s satisfy the Serre relations of $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$:

$$[X_i(n_1), [X_i(n_2), ..., [X_i(n_{-a_{ij}+1}), X_j(m)]...]] = 0, \qquad n_i, m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

In other words, the operators $\int X_i(z)V^\nu_{-\alpha_i}(z)dz$ "satisfy" the q–Serre relations, if and only if the operators $X_i(n)$ satisfy the Serre relations. □

4. Classical limit

Introduce new operators $a'_\alpha(n) = \nu a_\alpha(n)$. We have the commutation relations

$$[a'_\alpha(n), a^*_\beta(m)] = \nu\delta_{\alpha,\beta}\delta_{n,-m}$$

for the operators $a'_\alpha(n)$ and $a^*(m)$ acting on M.

Consider a linear basis in M, which consists of monomials in $a'_\alpha(n), \alpha \in \Delta_+, n < 0$, and $a^*_\alpha(n), \alpha \in \Delta_+, n \le 0$, applied to the vacuum vector v. As a basis in π^ν_λ we take monomials in $b_i(n), i = 1, \dots, \ell, n < 0$, applied to the vacuum vector v_λ. Tensor products of elements of these bases form a basis in W^ν_λ. Using these bases, we can identify the spaces W^ν_λ with different values of ν, so we can omit the superscript ν and write W_λ. The linear operators defined above for different ν should be considered as operators explicitly depending on the parameter ν, acting spaces W_λ, which do not depend on ν.

We can also identify the spaces $\overline{W}^\nu_\lambda$ with different ν and write $\overline{W}_\lambda$. However the Lie algebra structure on $\overline{W}_0$ will be ν–dependent.

Consider the operator $G^\nu_i : W_0 \to W_{-\alpha_i}$. It can be expanded in powers of ν: $G^\nu_i = G^0_i + \nu(\dots)$. We will call G^0_i the classical screening operators. We can consider G^0_i as an operator $W_\lambda \to W_{\lambda-\alpha_i}$ for any λ.

Put $G_i = T_{\alpha_i}G^0_i : W_0 \to W_0$, where $T_{\alpha_i} : W_{-\alpha_i} \to W_0$ was defined after formula (1.2).

Lemma 3. *The operators G_i generate an action of the nilpotent subalgebra $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+$ of $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ on W_0.*

The Lemma follows from Lemma 1 in the limit $q \to 1$. A different proof will be given below.

In the same way as in [20] we can show that $D^{\nu}_{P^q_{s's}} = P_{s',s}(G) + \nu(\dots)$, where $P_{s',s} \in U(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_-)$ is the expression for the singular vector at $q = 1$, and $P_{s',s}(G)$ is the operator $W_{s(\rho)-\rho} \to W_{s'(\rho)-\rho}$ obtained by inserting G^0_i instead of the e_i in $P_{s',s}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, \ell$.

Thus, we have a well-defined limit of the complex $C^*_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ as $\nu \to 0$. As a linear space it does not depend on ν: $C^j_0(\overline{\mathfrak{g}}) = \oplus_{l(s)=j} W_{s(\rho)-\rho}$, and the differential is the $\nu \to 0$ limit of the differential (3.3):

$$\delta^j_\nu = \sum_{l(s)=j, l(s')=j+1, s \prec s'} \epsilon_{s',s} \cdot P_{s',s}(G).$$

In the same way as in [20] we obtain the following result.

Proposition 1. *The cohomology of the complex $C^*_0(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ is isomorphic to the cohomology of $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+$ with coefficients in W_0, $H^*(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+, W_0)$.*

The action of $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+$ on W_0 has geometric origin. The space W_0 can be considered as the algebra of regular functions on an infinite-dimensional linear space $\mathcal{U}$ with coordinates $a'_\alpha(n), \alpha \in \Delta_+, n < 0, a^*_\alpha(n), \alpha \in \Delta_+, n \leq 0$, and $b_i(n), i = 1, \dots, \ell, n < 0$. The Lie algebra $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+$ acts on this space by vector fields. This is the infinitesimal action of $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+$, corresponding to an action of the Lie group $\overline{N}_+$ on $\mathcal{U}$. We will see later that this action of $\overline{N}_+$ is free. Therefore the $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+$–module W_0 is co-free, i.e. dual to a free module. Hence $H^i(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+, W_0) = 0, i \neq 0$, and $H^0(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+, W_0)$ is the algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{U}]^{\overline{N}_+}$ of $\overline{N}_+$–invariant functions on $\mathcal{U}$. The latter is a polynomial algebra of infinitely many variables.

We can find the degrees of the generators of the algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{U}]^{\overline{N}_+}$ by computing its character, which coincides with the Euler character of the complex $C^*_0(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$. We obtain:

$$\operatorname{ch} \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{U}]^{\overline{N}_+} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-q^n)^{-l} \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} (1-q^n u^\alpha)^{-1}. \tag{4.1}$$

This gives us the following result.

Theorem 2. *The 0th cohomology $K_0(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ of the complex $C^*_0(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ is isomorphic to a graded polynomial algebra of infinitely many variables, whose character is given by formula (4.1). All higher cohomologies of the complex $C^*_0(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ vanish.*

The 0th cohomology $J_0(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ of the complex $\widehat{C}^*_0(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ is isomorphic to the quotient of $K_0(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})[t, t^{-1}]$ by the subspace of total derivatives and constants. All higher cohomologies of the complex $\widehat{C}^*_0(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ vanish.

Corollary 1. *For generic ν all higher cohomologies of the complex $C^*_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ vanish, and the character of the 0th cohomology $K_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ is given by formula (4.1).*

The 0th cohomology $J_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ of the complex $\widehat{C}^*_0(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ is isomorphic to the quotient of $K_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})[z, z^{-1}]$ by the subspace of total derivatives and constants. All higher cohomologies of the complex $\widehat{C}^*_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ vanish.

In the next section we will identify the 0th cohomology of the complex $C_0^*(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ with the classical limit of the VOA V_k of $\mathfrak{g}$. This will complete the proof of Theorem 1.

Remark 4.1. Theorem 2 can be proved in a simpler way by considering a different classical limit of the differentials of the complex. Namely, we can take the linear basis in W_λ^ν, which consists of monomials in $a_\alpha(n), a_\alpha^*(n)$, and $b'(n) = \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}b(n)$. Then in the limit $\nu \to 0$ the vertex operator $V_\gamma^\nu(z) \to \mathrm{Id}$, and so $G_i^\nu \to e_i^R(0)$. Therefore the cohomology of the complex $C_\nu^*(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ in this limit become $H^*(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+, \pi_0)$, where now $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+$ acts on π_0 via the operators $e_\alpha^R(0), \alpha \in \Delta_+$. This action is co-free by definition of the operators e_α^R. Hence we obtain Theorem 2.

This proof is simpler than the proof given above, but is not clear how to identify V_k with the 0th cohomology of $C_\nu^*(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ for $\nu \neq 0$. For that it is more convenient to use the other classical limit introduced above. □

5. Hamiltonian structure

Consider the loop spaces $L\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+ = \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+[t, t^{-1}]$ and $L\overline{\mathfrak{h}} = \overline{\mathfrak{h}} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$. We have the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on the direct sum $L\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+ \oplus (L\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+)^*$, induced by the pairing $L\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+ \times (L\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+)^* \to \mathbb{C}$, and the inner product on $L\overline{\mathfrak{h}}$, which are the restrictions of the invariant inner product on $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}[t, t^{-1}]$:

$$\langle u(t), v(t) \rangle = \int (u(t), dv(t)),$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the invariant inner product on $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ normalized so that the square of the maximal root equals 2.

Denote by $p_\alpha, \alpha \in \Delta_+$ coordinates on $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+$, by $q_\alpha, \alpha \in \Delta_+$, the dual coordinates on $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+^*$, and by $u_i, i = 1, \dots, \ell$, be coordinates on $L\overline{\mathfrak{h}}$. Let $\overline{W}_0$ be the space of *local functionals* on $L\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+ \oplus (L\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+)^* \oplus L\overline{\mathfrak{h}}$. It consists of functionals of the form

$$\int P(p_\alpha^{(n)}(t), q_\alpha^{(n)}(t), u_i^{(n)}(t); t)dt,$$

where P is a polynomial in $(p_\alpha(t), q_\alpha(t), u_i(t)) \in L\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+ \oplus (L\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+)^* \oplus L\overline{\mathfrak{h}}$ and their derivatives, and t. We use notation $f^{(n)}(t) = \partial^n f(t)$.

Local functionals can be considered as infinite sums of monomials in the Fourier coefficients $p_\alpha(n) = \int p_\alpha(t)t^n dt, q_\alpha(n) = \int q_\alpha(t)t^{n-1}dt, u_i(n) = \int u_i(t)t^n dt$ of the polynomials $p_\alpha(t), q_\alpha(t)$, and $u_i(t)$, cf. [20], Sect. 2.1.

Put

$$W_0 = \mathbb{C}[p_\alpha^{(n)}, q_\alpha^{(n)}, u_i^{(n)}]_{\alpha \in \Delta_+, i=1,\dots,\ell, n \geq 0}.$$

We identify it with W_0 defined in the previous section by identifying

$$p_\alpha^{(n)} \sim n! a'_\alpha(-n-1), \qquad q_\alpha^{(n)} \sim n! a_\alpha^*(-n), \qquad u_i^{(n)} \sim n! b_i(-n-1). \tag{5.1}$$

The operator ∂ acts on W_0 as a derivation. There is a map $W_0 \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \to \overline{W}$, which sends $P \otimes t^n \in W_0[t, t^{-1}]$ to the corresponding local functional, which we denote for simplicity by $\int Pt^n$.

Using this map we can show that the space of local functionals $\overline{W}_0$ is isomorphic to the quotient of W_0 by the subspace of total derivatives and constants, cf. [20]. Thus,

we can identify the space of local functionals with $\overline{W}_0$, defined in the previous section, as a linear space.

There is a Lie bracket on $\overline{W}_0$. It coincides with the well-known Poisson structure, cf. [13, 28]:

$$\{\int P, \int Q\} = -\sum_{1\le i,j\le l}(\alpha_i,\alpha_j)\int \frac{\delta P}{\delta u_i}\,\partial\,\frac{\delta Q}{\delta u_j} + \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta_+}\int \frac{\delta P}{\delta p_\alpha}\,\frac{\delta Q}{\delta q_\alpha} - \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta_+}\int \frac{\delta P}{\delta q_\alpha}\,\frac{\delta Q}{\delta p_\alpha},$$

where $\delta/\delta f$ denotes variational derivative with respect to f.

This Lie bracket is uniquely defined by the Poisson brackets between Fourier components of $p_\alpha(t), q_\alpha(t)$, and $u_i(t)$ are those given by the formulas:

$$\{p_\alpha(n), q_\alpha(m)\} = \delta_{\alpha,\beta}\delta_{n,-m}, \qquad \{u_i(n), u_j(m)\} = n(\alpha_i,\alpha_j)\delta_{n,-m}. \tag{5.2}$$

These formulas show that the Lie algebra structure on $\overline{W}_0$ for generic ν is a quantum deformation of the Poisson Lie algebra structure on $\overline{W}$ defined above: for any elements $A, B \in \overline{W}_0$, the commutator is given by $[A,B] = \nu\{A,B\} + \nu^2(\dots)$.

Now we will give a hamiltonian interpretation of the classical limits of the operators $G_i^\nu, i = 1,\dots,\ell$.

Introduce formally variables $\phi_i, i = 1,\dots,\ell$, such that $\partial\phi_i = u_i$, and hence $\partial e^{\phi_i} = u_i e^{\phi_i}$ [20]. For any $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^\ell \lambda_i\alpha_i$, put $W_\lambda = W_0 \otimes e^{\overline{\lambda}}$, where $\overline{\lambda} = \sum_{i=1}^\ell \lambda_i\phi_i$. We identify it with W_λ defined in the previous section by identifying v_λ with $e^{\overline{\lambda}}$.

We have an action of ∂ on W_λ. We denote by $\overline{W}_\lambda$ the quotient of $W_\lambda[t,t^{-1}]$ by the subspace of total derivatives. This space can be interpreted as the space of functionals of the form $\int P(p_\alpha^{(n)}(t), q_\alpha^{(n)}(t), u_i^{(n)}(t); t)e^{\overline{\lambda}(t)}dt$. We will use simpler notation $\int Pe^{\overline{\lambda}}, P \in W_\lambda$ for such a functional.

For any $\int P \in \overline{W}_0, \int Qe^{\overline{\lambda}} \in \overline{W}_\lambda$ define their bracket

$$\{\int P, \int Qe^{\overline{\lambda}}\} = \sum_{1\le i,j\le l}(\alpha_i,\alpha_j)\int \frac{\delta P}{\delta u_i}\left[\lambda_i Qe^{\overline{\lambda}} - \partial\left(\frac{\delta Q}{\delta u_j}e^{\overline{\lambda}}\right)\right] +$$

$$\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta_+}\int \frac{\delta P}{\delta p_\alpha}\,\frac{\delta Q}{\delta q_\alpha}e^{\,\overline{\lambda}(t)} - \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta_+}\int \frac{\delta P}{\delta q_\alpha}\,\frac{\delta Q}{\delta p_\alpha}\,e^{\overline{\lambda}(t)}.$$

This bracket is uniquely defined by formulas (5.2) and

$$\{\int u_i t^n, \int e^{\overline{\lambda}}t^m\} = (\lambda,\alpha_i)\int e^{\overline{\lambda}}t^{n+m}. \tag{5.3}$$

The map $\{\cdot,\cdot\} : \overline{W}_0 \times \overline{W}_{-\alpha_i} \to \overline{W}_{-\alpha_i}$ satisfies the Jacobi identity for any $\int P_1, \int P_2 \in \overline{W}_0, \int Qe^{\overline{\lambda}} \in \overline{W}_\lambda$ [20]. Hence it defines on $\overline{W}_\lambda$ a structure of module over the Lie algebra $\overline{W}_0$.

For $P \in W_0$ denote by $\xi(P)$ an operator on W_λ given by

$$\sum_{1\le i,j\le l}(\alpha_i,\alpha_j)\left[\lambda_j\frac{\delta P}{\delta u_j} + \sum_{n=1}^\infty\left(\partial^{n+1}\frac{\delta P}{\delta u_i}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial u_j^{(n)}}\right] + \tag{5.4}$$

$$\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta_+}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\partial^n\frac{\delta P}{\delta p_\alpha}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial q_\alpha^{(n)}}-\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta_+}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\partial^n\frac{\delta P}{\delta q_\alpha}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial p_\alpha}.$$

Clearly, $[\xi(P),\partial]=0$ for any P. The map $\xi: W_0\to \operatorname{End} W_0$ is the classical limit of the map $P\to\int Y(P,z)dz$ for generic ν, cf. [21].

The map $\xi: W_0\to W_0$ can be presented in the form $\xi'\circ d$, where ξ' is a homomorphism $\Omega/\operatorname{Im}\partial\to \operatorname{Vect}^\partial$ from the quotient of the space of one-forms Ω by the action of ∂ to the space of ∂–invariant vector field on $\operatorname{Spec} W_0$. The map ξ' is a quasi-Poisson structure on $\operatorname{Spec} W_0$ in the sense of Gelfand-Dickey-Dorfman [28, 29] (cf. also [21]).

We can also define for any $Pe^{\overline{\lambda}}\in W_\lambda$ a map $\xi(Pe^{\overline{\lambda}}): W_0\to W_\lambda$ by formula (5.4), where the first term should be replaced by

$$\sum_{1\le i,j\le l}(\alpha_i,\alpha_j)\left[-\lambda_j Pe^{\overline{\lambda}}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\partial^{n+1}\frac{\delta P}{\delta u_i}e^{\overline{\lambda}}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial u_j^{(n)}}\right].$$

We have:

$$\{\int P,\int Qe^{\overline{\lambda}}\}=\int\left[\xi(P)\cdot Qe^{\overline{\lambda}}\right]=-\int\left[\xi(Qe^{\overline{\lambda}})\cdot Q\right],$$

cf. [20, 21].

Now consider the following element of $W_{-\alpha_i}$:

$$\widehat{G}_i=\sum_{\beta\in\Delta_+}P^R_{\alpha_i,\beta}(q)p_\beta e^{-\phi_i},\qquad i=1,\dots,\ell,$$

where $P^R_{\alpha_i,\beta}(q)$ is a polynomial in $q_\gamma,\gamma\in\Delta_+$, obtained from $P^R_{\alpha_i,\beta}$ in formula (2.2) by replacing x_γ by q_γ. In the same way as in [20, 21] we obtain the following result.

Lemma 4. *$G_i^0=\xi(\widehat{G}_i)$ and $\overline{G}_i^0=\{\cdot,\int\widehat{G}_i\}$.*

Thus, the space $J_0(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ can be considered as the space of local functionals in $p_\alpha(t), q_\alpha(t)$, and $u_i(t)$, which commute with $\int\widehat{G}_i, i=1,\dots,\ell$, with respect to the Poisson bracket $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$. In other words, $J_0(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ is the space of *local integrals of motion* of the system of hamiltonian equations, defined by the hamiltonian

$$H=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\int\widehat{G}_i.$$

This system reads:

$$\partial_\tau p_\alpha(z,\tau)=\{p_\alpha,H\},\qquad \partial_\tau q_\alpha(z,\tau)=\{q_\alpha(z,\tau),H\},\qquad \partial_\tau u_i(z,\tau)=\{u_i,H\}.$$

Here $p_\alpha(z,\tau), q_\alpha(z,\tau)$, and $u_i(z,\tau)$ are considered as delta-like functionals, which are equal to the value of the corresponding function at the point t, depending on the time τ.

Using formulas (5.2) and (5.3), we obtain the following equations:

$$\partial_\tau p_\alpha=\sum_{j=1}^{\ell}\sum_{\beta\in\Delta_+}\frac{\partial P^R_{\alpha_j,\beta}}{\partial q_\alpha}p_\beta e^{-\phi_j},\qquad \alpha\in\Delta_+,$$

$$\partial_\tau q_\alpha = -\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} P^R_{\alpha_j,\alpha} e^{-\phi_j}, \qquad \alpha \in \Delta_+, \tag{5.5}$$

$$\partial_\tau \partial_z \phi_i = -\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} (\alpha_j, \alpha_j) \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_+} P^R_{\alpha_j,\beta} p_\beta e^{-\phi_j}, \qquad i = 1, \dots, \ell.$$

Example. In the case of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ these equations read:

$$\partial_\tau p(z,\tau) = 0, \qquad \partial_\tau q(z,\tau) = -e^{-\phi}, \qquad \partial_\tau \partial_z \phi(\tau, t) = p e^{-\phi}.$$

□

The system (5.5) should be compared to the system of Toda equations associated to $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$, which reads

$$\partial_\tau u_i(z,\tau) = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} (\alpha_i, \alpha_j) e^{-\phi_j}, \qquad i = 1, \dots, \ell.$$

These equations are non-local in $u_i(t)$, but possess local integrals of motion. The corresponding algebra of integrals of motion is the classical $\mathcal{W}$–algebra associated to $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ [20].

Remark 5.1. The equations (5.5) imply that

$$\partial_z \left(u_i - \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} (\alpha_i, \alpha) p_\alpha q_\alpha \right) = 0, \qquad i = 1, \dots, \ell.$$

Therefore we can put:

$$u_i = U_i = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} (\alpha_i, \alpha) p_\alpha q_\alpha$$

and eliminate $\phi_i, i = 1, \dots, \ell$ from the system (5.5) (recall that $u_i = \partial_z \phi_i$). We then obtain the following system of equations on the functions $p_\alpha, q_\alpha, \alpha \in \Delta_+$:

$$\partial_\tau p_\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_+} p_\beta \frac{\partial P^R_{\alpha_j,\beta}}{\partial q_\beta} e^{\int^z U_j dz}, \qquad \alpha \in \Delta_+,$$

$$\partial_\tau q_\alpha = -\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} P^R_{\alpha_j,\alpha} e^{\int^z U_j dz}, \qquad \alpha \in \Delta_+.$$

Note that if we put: $p_{\alpha_i} = 1, i = 1, \dots, \ell; p_\alpha = 0, \alpha \neq \alpha_i, i = 1, \dots, \ell$, in the resulting system, we will obtain a system of equations on the functions $q_{\alpha_i}, i = 1, \dots, \ell$, which is equivalent to the Toda system. This is a version of Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction. □

We will now show that local integrals of motion of the system (5.5) form the classical limit of the affine algebra $\mathfrak{g}$.

Recall that the Lie group N_+ can be identified with the big cell of the flag manifold G/B_-. Hence the Lie algebra $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ acts on N_+ from the left by vector fields. We can

write down formulas for these vector fields in terms of the coordinates $x_\alpha, \alpha \in \Delta_+$: the action of elements e_α is given by the vector fields e_α^L, cf. formula (2.2), and

$$h_i = -\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta_+} (\alpha_i, \alpha) x_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha}, \qquad i = 1, \dots, \ell,$$

$$f_\alpha = \sum_{\beta\in\Delta_+} Q_{\alpha,\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\beta}, \qquad \alpha \in \Delta_+, \tag{5.6}$$

where $Q_{\alpha,\beta}$ is a certain polynomial of degree $\alpha + \beta$.

Introduce elements E_i, F_i, and $H_i, i = 1, \dots, \ell$ of W_0 as follows:

$$H_i = u_i - \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta_+} (\alpha_i, \alpha) p_\alpha q_\alpha,$$

$$E_i = \sum_{\beta\in\Delta_+} P^L_{\alpha_i,\beta}(q) p_\beta, \qquad F_i = \sum_{\beta\in\Delta_+} Q_{\alpha_i,\beta}(q) p_\beta + u_i q_{\alpha_i} + \frac{2}{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)} \partial q_{\alpha_i}.$$

Here $P^L_{\alpha,\beta}(q)$ and $Q_{\alpha,\beta}(q)$ are obtained from the polynomials $P_{\alpha,\beta}$ and $Q_{\alpha,\beta}$ given by (2.2) and (5.6), respectively, by replacing $x_\gamma, \gamma \in \Delta_+$, by q_γ.

Now we define elements E_α and F_α for all other $\alpha \in \Delta_+$ by induction. If $[e_\beta, e_\gamma] = e_{\beta+\gamma}$ in $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$, then we put $E_{\beta+\gamma} = \xi(E_\beta) \cdot E_\gamma$, where $\xi(\cdot)$ is defined by formula (5.4), and analogously for F_α.

Theorem 3. *The space $K_0(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ is the algebra of differential polynomials in $E_\alpha, F_\alpha, \alpha \in \Delta_+$, and $H_i, i = 1, \dots, \ell$,*

$$K_0(\overline{\mathfrak{g}}) = \mathbb{C}[E_\alpha^{(n)}, H_i^{(n)}, F_\alpha^{(n)}]_{\alpha\in\Delta_+; i=1,\dots,\ell; n\geq 0}.$$

The space $J_0(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ is the space of local functionals in $E_\alpha, F_\alpha, \alpha \in \Delta_+$, and $H_i, i = 1, \dots, \ell$,

$$J_0(\overline{\mathfrak{g}}) = K_0(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})[t, t^{-1}]/(\operatorname{Im}\partial \oplus \mathbb{C}).$$

Proof. One checks directly that the elements E_α, F_α, and H_j defined above lie in the kernel of the operators $G_i^0, i = 1, \dots, \ell$. Since ∂ commutes with G_i^0, the derivatives of these elements also lie in the kernel. But $G_i^0 \cdot (PQ) = (G_i^0 \cdot P)Q + P(G_i^0 \cdot Q)$. Hence the algebra generated by these elements lies in $K_0(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$. But its character equals the character of $K_0(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$, cf. Theorem 2. Hence it coincides with $K_0(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$.

The second part of the theorem follows from Theorem 2. □

Now consider the hyperplane $\mathfrak{g}_1^*$ in the restricted dual space $\mathfrak{g}^*$ to the affine algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, which consists of those linear functionals on $\mathfrak{g}$ which are equal to 1 on the central element $K \in \mathfrak{g}$. This space has a canonical Kirillov-Kostant Poisson structure.

If we choose a coordinate t on the circle, we can identify $\mathfrak{g}_1^*$ with $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}[t, t^{-1}]$. The space $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{g})$ of local functionals on $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}^*[t, t^{-1}]$ then becomes a Lie algebra with respect to the Kirillov-Kostant bracket.

This bracket is uniquely defined by the brackets of the linear functionals on $\mathfrak{g}_1^*$. Such a functional is simply an element of $\overline{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$. The Kirillov-Kostant bracket of two such functionals, $A(n) = A \otimes t^n$ and $B(m) = B \otimes t^m$ is given by

$$\{A(n), B(m)\} = [A, B](n + m) + n\langle A, B\rangle \delta_{n,-m}.$$

Proposition 2. *$J_0(\overline{\mathfrak{g}}) \simeq \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{g})$ as Lie algebras.*

Proof. We have to check that Fourier components of the differential polynomials E_α, F_α, and H_i have the same Poisson brackets as the corresponding elements of $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{g})$. But this automatically follows from our construction. □

Thus, we have shown that the space $J_0(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ of local integrals of motion of the system (5.5) is isomorphic to the space $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{g})$ of local functionals on the dual space to the affine algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. The space $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{g})$ is therefore the classical limit of the Lie algebra $U_k(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathrm{loc}}$ as $k \to \infty$.

The space $K_0(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ is the classical limit of the VOA V_k as $k \to \infty$. We know from Theorem 2 and Corollary 1 that $K_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ is also a VOA whose classical limit coincides with $K_0(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$. Knowing the character of $K_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ it is easy to show that V_k is the only possible quantum deformation of $K_0(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$. Finally, k can be computed from the commutator of the elements $H_i(n)$, which do not change under deformation. Therefore $k = -h^\vee + \nu^{-1}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

This implies that $K_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ coincides with $U_k(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathrm{loc}}$ for generic ν. In particular, for any $k \neq -h^\vee$ there exists a free field realization of the affine algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of level k, i.e. a homomorphism $\mathfrak{g} \to U(\mathcal{H}(\overline{\mathfrak{g}}) \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{h}})_{\mathrm{loc}}$, which sends K to k. It coincides with Wakimoto realization, which was first constructed geometrically in [16].

6. Integrals of motion of the deformed CFT

We now want to add an extra operator G_0^ν, corresponding to the extra simple root α_0 of $\mathfrak{g}$, to the set $G_i^\nu, i = 1, \dots, \ell$. This operator will define certain deformations of the conformal field theories associated to $\mathfrak{g}$: Wess-Zumino-Novikov-Witten model and generalized parafermions. We will determine local integrals of motion of these deformations by an analogue of the procedure from the previous section. The classical limits of these integrals of motion will be shown to coincide with local integrals of motion of the AKNS equation and its generalizations.

6.1. **The case $\mathfrak{g} = \widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$.** In this case we have one operator $G_1^\nu = \int a(z) V_{-\alpha}^\nu(z) dz$. It is natural to put

$$G_0^\nu = \int a^*(z) V_\alpha^\nu(z) dz.$$

The operator $G_0^\nu : W_0^\nu \to W_\alpha^\nu$ commutes with the action of ∂ and hence defines an operator $\overline{G}_0^\nu : \overline{W}_0^\nu \to \overline{W}_\alpha^\nu$. We can now define a Lie algebra $J_\nu(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ as

$$J_\nu(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2) = \mathrm{Ker}_{\overline{W}_0^\nu} \overline{G}_0^\nu \bigcap \mathrm{Ker}_{\overline{W}_0^\nu} \overline{G}_1^\nu.$$

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, denote by $M_{\lambda,k}^*$ the module contragradient to the Verma module over $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ with highest weight λ. There is a unique *primary field* (or vertex operator) of weight λ (i.e. spin $\lambda/2$) $\Phi_\lambda^\mu(z) : V_k \to M_{\lambda,k}^*, \mu = \lambda, \lambda - 2, \dots$. Recall that, by definition, the action of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ on the components of this field by commutator coincides with its action on the homogeneous components of the evaluation representation corresponding to contragradient Verma module of highest weight λ over $\mathfrak{sl}_2$, see, e.g., [17].

We know that $\mathrm{Ker}_{\overline{W}_0} G_1^\nu = V_k$ with $k = -2 + \nu^{-1}$ for generic ν, according to Theorem 1. Also $W_\alpha^\nu \simeq M_{\alpha,k}^*$ as an $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$–module for generic ν. The restriction of the fields $a^*(z)^m V_\lambda^\nu(z), m = 0, 1, \ldots : W_0^\nu \to W_\lambda^\nu$ to V_k is the primary field of weight λ, cf. [17]. In particular, $a^*(z)V_\alpha^\nu$ is the component $\Phi_2^0(z)$ of the primary field corresponding to the adjoint representation of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ (we can identify α with 2).

Hence for generic ν elements of the space $J_\nu(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ can be interpreted as vectors $P \in V_k$, such that $\int \Phi_2^0(z)dz \cdot P = \partial Q$ for some $Q \in W_\alpha^\nu$. For such a P, $\int P(z)dz \in U_k(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathrm{loc}}$ commutes with $\int \Phi_2^0(z)dz$. Following Zamolodchikov [37], we can interpret elements of $J_\nu(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ as local integrals of motion (in the first order of perturbation theory) of the deformation of conformal field theory of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ by the field $\Phi_2^0(z)$. The space $J_\nu(\mathfrak{g})$ has a $\mathbb{Z}$–gradation, which is obtained by subtracting 1 from the $\mathbb{Z}$-gradation on W_0^ν. We will call an element of $J_\nu(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ of degree s an integral of motion of spin s.

The field $\Phi_2^0(z)$ commutes with the homogeneous Heisenberg subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$. Hence it defines a primary field of the coset model $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2/\mathfrak{h}$ of arbitrary level $k \neq -2$, which can be viewed as the analytic continuation of the parafermionic theory [15, 14] corresponding to the case of integral k. This field is called the first thermal operator and is denoted by $\epsilon_1(z)$. The corresponding deformation of the parafermionic theory was studied by Fateev [14].

It is expected that for a positive integer k the parafermionic theory is equivalent to the $(k+1, k+2)$ minimal model of the conformal field theory associated to the $\mathcal{W}$–algebra $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{sl}_k)$. Hence we can assume that this deformation is equivalent to the standard deformation of the latter theory by the "adjoint" field [10, 30], in which spins of integrals of motion are presumably all positive integers, which are not divisible by k. Thus we can expect the spins of integrals of motion of the deformation of the parafermionic theory to be the same, as proposed [14]. This allowed Fateev to predict the factorizable S–matrix of the deformed theory using the standard bootstrap technique, see [14].

Since $\Phi_2^0(z)$ commutes with $\mathfrak{h}$, we have $U(\mathfrak{h})_{\mathrm{loc}} \subset J_\nu(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$, and hence $J_\nu(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ decomposes into the direct sum $U(\mathfrak{h})_{\mathrm{loc}} \oplus J'_\nu(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$. By definition, elements of $J'_\nu(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ are local integrals of motion of the deformation of the WZNW theory of level $k = -2 + \nu^{-1}$ by $\int \Phi_2^0(z)dz$. Note that the elements of $J'_\nu(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ are also in one-to-one correspondence with the integrals of motion of the deformation of the parafermionic theory by $\int \epsilon_1(z)dz$.

Conjecture 1. *For generic ν the space $J'_\nu(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ is linearly spanned by elements of all positive integral spins.*

We expect that when k is an integer, the integral of motion of spins divisible by k indeed "drops out", in agreement with the prediction of [14].

In the next section we will prove Conjecture 1 in the classical limit $\nu \to 0$, and show that these integrals of motion are in fact quantum deformations of the hamiltonians of the AKNS hierarchy. We remark that the connection between the integrals of motion in the deformations of the parafermionic theory and the non-linear Schrödinger hierarchy (which is a reduction of the AKNS hierarchy) has been previously dicussed by Schiff [34].

Now we want to realize $J'_\nu(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ as a cohomology group of a complex $C^*_\nu(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$, which is constructed in the same way as the complex $C^*_\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$ in Sect. 3.

We use the BGG resolution of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ with $q = \exp(\pi i\nu)$, cf. [20], Sects. 3.1, 4.4. Put $C^0_\nu(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2) = W^\nu_0$ and $C^j_\nu(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2) = W^\nu_{2j} \oplus W^\nu_{-2j}, j > 0$. The operators $a(m), m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $a^*(m), m \in \mathbb{Z}$, satisfy the Serre relations of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$. Hence, according to Remark 3.1, the operators G^ν_1 and G^ν_0 satisfy the q–Serre relations of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ in the sense of Lemma 1. Thus we can define differentials of the complex $C^*_\nu(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ using the differentials of the BGG resolution of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ in the same way as in Sect. 3.

We have an analogue of Lemma 2: the differentials of the complex $C^*_\nu(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ are homogeneous operators, which commute with the action of ∂. Therefore we can define the quotient complex $\widehat{C}^*(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$, such that $\widehat{C}^j(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2) = C^*(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)/\operatorname{Im}\partial, j > 0$, and $\widehat{C}^0(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2) = C^0(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)/(\operatorname{Im}\partial \oplus \mathbb{C})$. The 0th differential of $\widehat{C}^*(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ is equal to $\overline{G}^\nu_1 + \overline{G}^\nu_0 : \overline{W}^\nu_0 \to \overline{W}^\nu_{-\alpha} \oplus \mathcal{W}^\nu_\alpha$. Therefore the space $J^\nu_0(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ is the 0th cohomology of the complex $\widehat{C}^*(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$.

In the next section we will compute the cohomology of this complex in the classical limit $\nu \to 0$. But first we will define an analogue of the space $J_\nu(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ for an arbitrary affine algebra $\mathfrak{g}$.

6.2. **General case.** As an analogue of the operator G^ν_0 for $\mathfrak{g}$ we will take a weight 0 component from the vertex operator $\Phi_{\mathrm{adj}}(z)$ corresponding to the adjoint representation of $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$. The adjoint representation is isomorphic to $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ itself, so its highest weight is

$$\alpha_{\max} = -\alpha_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} a_i \alpha_i,$$

where α_0 is the weight corresponding to the 0th simple root of $\mathfrak{g}$. The weight 0 component is isomorphic to the Cartan subalgebra $\overline{\mathfrak{h}}$. More generally, we can consider the vertex operator corresponding to the contragradient Verma module $M^*_{\alpha_{\max}}$ over $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ with highest weight $\alpha_{\max}$. This module contains the adjoint representation as a submodule, and its component $M^*_{\alpha_{\max}}(0)$ of weight 0 contains $\overline{\mathfrak{h}}$.

To each vector $x \in M^*_{\alpha_{\max}}(0)$ we can associate a field $\Phi^x_{\mathrm{adj}}(z) : V_k \to M^*_{-\alpha_0,k}$. For generic $k = -h^\vee + \nu^{-1}$ this field has a bosonic realization $\Psi_x(z) = P_x(a^*_\alpha(z))V^\nu_{-\alpha_0} : W^\nu_0 \to W^\nu_{-\alpha_0}$, where $P_x(x_\alpha)$ is a polynomial in $x_\alpha, \alpha \in \Delta_+$, of weight $-\alpha_{\max}$ representing $x \in M^*_{\alpha_{\max}}(0) \simeq \mathbb{C}[x_\alpha]$.

Introduce the operator

$$G^\nu_0 = \int \Psi_x(z)dz : W^\nu_0 \to W^\nu_{-\alpha_0}.$$

It commutes with the action of ∂ and hence defines an operator $\overline{G}^\nu_0 : \overline{W}^\nu_0 \to \overline{W}^\nu_{-\alpha_0}$. Define

$$J_\nu(\mathfrak{g})_x = \bigcap_{i=0}^{\ell} \operatorname{Ker}_{\overline{W}^\nu_0} G^\nu_i.$$

Note that $J_\nu(\mathfrak{g})_x$ is a Lie subalgebra in $J^\nu(\overline{\mathfrak{g}})$. It can be interpreted as the space of local integrals of motion of the deformation of the WZNW model of level $k = -h^\vee + \nu^{-1}$ by $\int \Phi^x_{\mathrm{adj}}(z)dz$.

By definition, the field $\Phi^x_{\mathrm{adj}}(z)$ commutes with the action of the Heisenberg subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. Therefore we can write $J_\nu(\mathfrak{g})_x = U(\mathfrak{h})_{\mathrm{loc}} \oplus J'_\nu(\mathfrak{g})_x$. The space $J'_\nu(\mathfrak{g})_x$ can be considered as the space of local integrals of motion of a deformation of the generalized parafermionic theory associated to $\mathfrak{g}$ (the $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}/\overline{\mathfrak{h}}$ coset model) by the field $\Phi^x_{\mathrm{adj}}(z)$.

Now we want to construct a complex $C^*_\nu(\mathfrak{g})_x$ whose cohomology equals $J_\nu(\mathfrak{g})_x$, using the BGG resolution of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. We put $C^j_\nu(\mathfrak{g})_x = \oplus_{l(s)=j} W^\nu_{s(\rho)-\rho}$. In order to define the differentials of the complex, we need to make sure that the screening operators $G^\nu_i, i = 0, \dots, \ell$, satisfy the q–Serre relations of $\mathfrak{g}$ in the sense of Lemma 1. According to Remark 3.1, this happens if the operators $e^R_i(n), i = 1, \dots, \ell$, and $P_x(m)$ satisfy the ordinary Serre relations. Note that $P_x(m)$ automatically satisfies the relations $[e^R_i(n_1), [e^R_i(n_2), P_x(m)]] = 0$ for all i. Therefore the Serre relations hold for those i, for which the ith node of the Dynkin diagram is not connected to the 0th node. In addition, the relations $[e^R_i(n), P_x(m)] = 0$ have to hold for all other i. In other words, for those i's the vector $x \in M^*_{\alpha_{\max}}$ should be annihilated by the right action of e_i. In that case, according to Remark 3.1, the operators $G^\nu_i, i = 0, \dots, \ell$, satisfy the q–Serre relations of $\mathfrak{g}$ in the sense of Lemma 1.

Therefore we can construct differentials of the complex $C^*(\mathfrak{g})_x$ using the differentials of the BGG resolution of $\mathfrak{g}$ in the same way as in Sect. 3. As before, the differentials of the complex $C^*_\nu(\mathfrak{g})_x$ are homogeneous operators, which commute with the action of ∂. Hence we can define the quotient complex $\widehat{C}^*(\mathfrak{g})_x$, such that $\widehat{C}^j(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2) = C^j(\mathfrak{g})_x/\operatorname{Im}\partial, j > 0$, and $\widehat{C}^0(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)_x = C^0(\mathfrak{g})_x/\operatorname{Im}\partial \oplus \mathbb{C}$. The 0th differential of $\widehat{C}^*(\mathfrak{g})_x$ is equal to $\sum_{i=0}^\ell \overline{G}^\nu_i : \overline{W}^\nu_0 \to \oplus_{i=1}^\ell \overline{W}^\nu_{-\alpha_i}$. Therefore the space $J^\nu_0(\mathfrak{g})_x$ is the 0th cohomology of the complex $\widehat{C}^*(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)_x$.

Conjecture 2. *Suppose that $x \in M^*_{\alpha_{\max}}(0)$ satisfies: $e^R_i \cdot x = 0$ for all i, such that the i-th node of the Dynkin diagram is not connected to the 0th node. Then for generic ν the space $J'_\nu(\mathfrak{g})_x$ has a linear basis, which consists of ℓ elements of each positive integral spin.*

In Sect. 8 we will compute this cohomology of the complex $C^*_\nu(\mathfrak{g})_x$ and prove Conjecture 2 in the classical limit, when $P_x = x_{\alpha_{\max}}$ with respect to a special coordinate system.

7. Classical limit in the case of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$

We consider now the new basis defined in Sect. 4, which consists of monomials in $a'(n)$, $a^*(n)$, and $b(n)$. This basis enables us to identify the spaces W^ν_λ and $\overline{W}^\nu_\lambda$, respectively, for different ν, but consider the differentials of the complexes $C^*(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ and $\widehat{C}^*(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ as ν–dependent, as in Sect. 4.

In particular, we have for $G^\nu_1 : W_0 \to W_{-\alpha}$ and $G^\nu_0 : W_0 \to W_\alpha$: $G^\nu_1 = G^0_1 + \nu(\dots), G^\nu_0 = \nu G^0_0 + \nu^2(\dots)$. Put $G_1 = T_\alpha G^0_1 : W_0 \to W_0$, and $G_0 = T_{-\alpha} G^0_0$.

Lemma 5. *The operators G_1 and G_0 generate an action of the nilpotent subalgebra $\mathfrak{n}_+$ of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ on W_0.*

This follows from the q–Serre relations to which the operators G_i^ν satisfy in the limit $\nu \to 0$. In Proposition 4 we will give another proof of this fact. This implies

Proposition 3. *The cohomology of the complex $C_0^*(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ is isomorphic to the cohomology of $\mathfrak{n}_+$ with coefficients in W_0, $H^*(\mathfrak{n}_+, W_0)$.*

7.1. **Separation of variables.** It is convenient to realize W_0 as $\mathbb{C}[p^{(n)}, q^{(n)}, u^{(n)}]_{n\geq 0}$, where the variables $p^{(n)}, q^{(n)}$ and $u^{(n)}$ are defined by formula (5.1). In terms of these variables we can write as in Lemma 4: $G_1^0 = \xi(-pe^{-\phi}), G_0^0 = \xi(qe^{\phi})$, and $\overline{G}_1^0 = \{\cdot, -\int pe^{-\phi}\}, \overline{G}_0^0 = \{\cdot, \int qe^{\phi}\}$.

More explicitly, we have:

$$G_1 = 2\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \partial^n(pe^{-\phi})\frac{\partial}{\partial u^{(n)}} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \partial^n e^{-\phi}\frac{\partial}{\partial q^{(n)}},$$

$$G_0 = 2\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \partial^n(qe^{\phi})\frac{\partial}{\partial u^{(n)}} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \partial^n e^{\phi}\frac{\partial}{\partial p^{(n)}}.$$

By definition, $J_0(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ is the kernel of the operator $\{\cdot, \int(-pe^{-\phi} + qe^{\phi})\}$. Therefore we can interpret $J_0(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ as the space of local integrals of motion of the system of equations:

$$\partial_\tau p(z,\tau) = e^{\phi}, \qquad \partial_\tau q(z,\tau) = e^{-\phi},$$

$$\partial_\tau \partial_z \phi(z,\tau) = 2qe^{\phi} + 2pe^{-\phi}.$$

Remark 7.1. These equations imply that $\partial_\tau(u - 2pq) = 0$. Hence we can put $u = 2pq$. Then we obtain the system

$$\partial_\tau p(z,\tau) = e^{2\int^z pqdz}, \qquad \partial_\tau q(z,\tau) = e^{-2\int^z pqdz}. \tag{7.1}$$

If we identify $q(z,\tau) = \overline{p}(z,\tau)$ and replace z by iz, we obtain a non-local equation

$$\partial_\tau p(z,\tau) = e^{-2i\int^z |p|^2 dz}. \tag{7.2}$$

The remarkable fact, which will be proved below, is that the integrals of motion of the modified version of the AKNS hierarchy (resp., non-local Schrdinger hierarchy) are symmetries of equation (7.1) (resp., (7.2)). □

Recall the formula for the field $h(z)$ (see the Example at the end of Sect. 2):

$$h(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} h_n z^{-n-1} = \frac{1}{\nu} b(z) - 2 : a(z)a^*(z) : . \tag{7.3}$$

It is easy to check that all Fourier coefficients of this field (they span the homogeneous Heisenberg subalgebra of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$) commute with the screening operators G_0^ν and G_1^ν for all values of ν. Let us compute the classical limits of these Fourier coefficients in terms of the variables $p^{(n)}, q^{(n)}, u^{(n)}$, defined by formula (5.1). They will certainly commute with the operators G_0 and G_1.

Set $v = u - 2pq$. Then the limit of $\nu h_n, n < 0$, as $\nu \to 0$ is the operator of multiplication by $\frac{1}{(-n-1)!}v^{(n)} = \frac{1}{(-n-1)!}\partial^{-n-1}v$. On the other hand, a straightforward calculation shows that $h_n, n > 0$ equals $n!\partial_{n-1} + \nu^2(\cdots)$, where

(7.4)
$$\partial_n = 2\frac{\partial}{\partial u^{(n)}} + 2\sum_{m\geq 0}\binom{n+m+1}{m}\left[p^{(m)}\frac{\partial}{\partial p^{(n+m+1)}} - q^{(m)}\frac{\partial}{\partial q^{(n+m+1)}}\right], \qquad n \geq 0.$$

Thus we obtain:

$$[G_i^0, v^{(n)}] = 0, \quad [G_i^0, \partial_n] = 0, \qquad i = 0, 1; n \geq 0, \tag{7.5}$$

and

$$[\partial_n, v^{(m)}] = 2\delta_{n,m}.$$

Finally, the leading term of h_0 as $\nu \to 0$ equals

$$h_0 = 2\sum_{m\geq 0}\left[p^{(m)}\frac{\partial}{\partial p^{(m)}} - q^{(m)}\frac{\partial}{\partial q^{(m)}}\right]. \tag{7.6}$$

We find from the definition of ∂_n the following relations:

$$[\partial_n, \partial] = \partial_{n-1}, \quad n > 0, \qquad [\partial_0, \partial] = h_0,$$

This implies that the operator $\partial - \frac{1}{2}vh_0$ commutes with all $\partial_n, n \geq 0$. Let us define the new variables $\widetilde{p}^{(n)}, \widetilde{q}^{(n)}, n \geq 0$, by the formulas

$$\widetilde{p}^{(n)} = (\partial - \frac{1}{2}vh_0)^n p, \qquad \widetilde{q}^{(n)} = (\partial - \frac{1}{2}vh_0)^n p.$$

Then $\widetilde{p}^{(n)} = p^{(n)} + P_n, \widetilde{q}^{(n)} = q^{(n)} + Q_n$, where $P_n, Q_n \in W_0$ lie in the ideal generated by $v^{(m)}, m \geq 0$, Since $\partial_m \cdot p = \partial_n \cdot q = 0$, we find that that $\partial_m \widetilde{p}^{(n)} = \partial_m \widetilde{q}^{(n)} = 0$ for all $m \geq 0, n \geq 0$. Moreover, formulas (7.5) imply that

$$G_i \cdot (R(\widetilde{p}^{(n)}, \widetilde{q}^{(n)})P(v^{(n)})) = (G_i \cdot R(\widetilde{p}^{(n)}, \widetilde{q}^{(n)}))P(v^{(n)}).$$

Therefore we can separate the variables, that is represent W_0 as the tensor product $\mathbb{C}[\widetilde{p}^{(n)}, \widetilde{q}^{(n)}]_{n\geq 0} \otimes \mathbb{C}[v^{(n)}]_{n\geq 0}$, and G_i's will act as derivations of the factor $\widetilde{W}_0 = \mathbb{C}[\widetilde{p}^{(n)}, \widetilde{q}^{(n)}]_{n\geq 0}$. It is easy to find explicit expression for these derivations. Indeed, let us identify $\mathbb{C}[\widetilde{p}^{(n)}, \widetilde{q}^{(n)}]_{n\geq 0}$ with the quotient of W_0 by the ideal generated by $v^{(n)}, n \geq 0$. Then $G_i \cdot \widetilde{p}^{(n)}$ equals the projection of $G_i \cdot p^{(n)}n$ onto this quotient, expressed as an element of $\mathbb{C}[\widetilde{p}^{(n)}, \widetilde{q}^{(n)}]_{n\geq 0}$. The same is true for $G_i \cdot \widetilde{q}^{(n)}$. This way we obtain the following expressions for G_1 and G_0 in the new variables:

$$G_1 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n^- \frac{\partial}{\partial \widetilde{q}^{(n)}}, \qquad G_0 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n^+ \frac{\partial}{\partial \widetilde{p}^{(n)}},$$

where $B_n^{\pm}$ is defined recursively as follows: $B_0^{\pm} = 1$, and

$$B_n^{\pm} = \widetilde{\partial} B_{n-1}^{\pm} \pm 2\widetilde{p}\,\widetilde{q} B_{n-1}^{\pm}. \tag{7.7}$$

Here we denote by $\widetilde{\partial}$ the derivation of $\widetilde{W}_0$, such that $\widetilde{\partial}\widetilde{p}^{(n)} = \widetilde{p}^{(n+1)}, \widetilde{\partial}\widetilde{q}^{(n)} = \widetilde{q}^{(n+1)}$.

7.2. **Isomorphism with $\mathbb{C}[N_+/H_+]$.** Let N_+ be the Lie group of $\mathfrak{n}_+$. This is a prounipotent proalgebraic Lie group, which is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{n}_+$ via the exponential map. Denote by $\mathfrak{h}_+$ the Lie subalgebra $\overline{\mathfrak{h}} \otimes t\mathbb{C}[t]$ of $\mathfrak{n}_+$ and by H_+ the corresponding subgroup of N_+. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_+$ is called the homogeneous abelian Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{n}_+$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_+$ infinitesimally acts on the homogeneous space N_+/H_+ from the left. On the other hand, N_+ is isomorphic to the big cell of $B_-\backslash G$, and therefore N_+ acts infinitesimally on N_+ from the right. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_- = \overline{\mathfrak{h}} \otimes t^{-1}\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}]$ commutes with $\mathfrak{h}_+$, and therefore it acts infinitesimally on N_+/H_+ from the right. Thus, $\mathbb{C}[N_+/H_+]$ is an $\mathfrak{n}_+$–module and an $\mathfrak{h}_-$–module.

Let $E_1 = e \otimes 1$ and $E_0 = f \otimes t$ be the generators of $\mathfrak{n}_+$.

Proposition 4. *There exists an isomorphism of rings $\mathbb{C}[\widetilde{p}^{(n)}, \widetilde{q}^{(n)}]_{n\geq 0} \simeq \mathbb{C}[N_+/H_+]$, under which $E_i = -G_i, i = 0, 1$, and $\frac{1}{2}h_{-1} = \widetilde{\partial}$.*

Proof. We follow the same strategy as in the principal case (see [21, 25]). Introduce the functions $\overline{p}$ and $\overline{q}$ on N_+ by the formulas

$$\overline{p}(K) = \frac{1}{2}(E_1, Kh_{-1}K^{-1}), \qquad \overline{q}(K) = -\frac{1}{2}(E_0, Kh_{-1}K^{-1}), \qquad K \in N_+. \tag{7.8}$$

These functions are invariant with respect to the right action of H_+, and hence descend to N_+/H_+.

Next, we define the functions

$$\overline{p}^{(n)} = (\frac{1}{2}h_{-1})^n \cdot \overline{p}, \qquad \overline{q}^{(n)} = (\frac{1}{2}h_{-1})^n \cdot \overline{q}, \qquad n > 0,$$

on N_+/H_+. Define the homomorphism $\mathbb{C}[\widetilde{p}^{(n)}, \widetilde{q}^{(n)}]_{n\geq 0} \to \mathbb{C}[N_+/H_+]$, which sends $\overline{p}^{(n)}$ to $\widetilde{p}^{(n)}$, and $\overline{q}^{(n)}$ to $\widetilde{q}^{(n)}$.

To prove that this homomorphism is injective, we have to show that the functions $\overline{p}^{(n)}, \overline{q}^{(n)}, n \geq 0$ are algebraically independent. We will do that by showing that the values of their differentials at the identity coset $\bar{1} \in N_+/H_+$, are linearly independent. Those are elements of the cotangent space to N_+/H_+ at $\bar{1}$, which is canonically isomorphic to $(\mathfrak{n}_+/\mathfrak{h}_+)^*$. Using the invariant inner product on $\mathfrak{g}$, we identify $(\mathfrak{n}_+/\mathfrak{h}_+)^*$ with $(\mathfrak{h}_+)^\perp \cap \mathfrak{n}_- = \oplus_{j>0}\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+ \otimes t^{-j} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_-) \otimes t^{-j+1}$.

Let us first show that the vectors $d\overline{p}|_{\bar{1}}$ and $d\overline{q}|_{\bar{1}}$ generate $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+ \otimes t^{-1}$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+ \otimes t^{-1}$, respectively. For that it suffices to check that $E_1 \cdot \overline{q} \neq 0, E_1 \cdot \overline{p} = 0, E_0 \cdot \overline{q} = 0, E_0 \cdot \overline{p} \neq 0$. We find

$$\begin{aligned}(E_1 \cdot \overline{q})(K) &= \frac{1}{2}(E_0, [E_1, Kh_{-1}K^{-1}]) = \frac{1}{2}([E_0, E_1], Kh_{-1}K^{-1}]) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}(h_1, Kh_{-1}K^{-1}]) = -\frac{1}{2}(h_1, Kh_{-1}K^{-1}]) = -1.\end{aligned} \tag{7.9}$$

Likewise, we check that $E_1 \cdot \overline{p} = 0, E_0 \cdot \overline{q} = 0, E_0 \cdot \overline{p} = -1$.

Now, by definition,

$$d\overline{p}^{(m+1)}|_{\bar{1}} = \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{ad} h_{-1} \cdot d\overline{p}^{(m)}|_{\bar{1}}, \qquad d\overline{q}^{(m+1)}|_{\bar{1}} = \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{ad} h_{-1} \cdot d\overline{q}^{(m)}|_{\bar{1}}.$$

Hence the vectors $d\widetilde{u}_i^{(m)}|_{\bar{1}}$ are all linearly independent. But $\operatorname{ad} h_{-1} : \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_\pm \otimes t^{-j} \to \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_\pm \otimes t^{-j-1}$ is an isomorphism for all $j > 0$. Therefore $d\overline{p}^{(n)}|_{\bar{1}}, d\overline{q}^{(n)}|_{\bar{1}}, n \geq 0$ are linearly independent, and so the functions $\overline{p}^{(n)}, \overline{q}^{(n)}, n \geq 0$, are algebraically independent. Therefore our homomorphism $\mathbb{C}[\widetilde{p}^{(n)}, \widetilde{q}^{(n)}]_{n\geq 0} \to \mathbb{C}[N_+/H_+]$ is injective.

To prove that it is an isomorphism, we compute the characters of both spaces with respect to the bigradation by the integers and the root lattice. The result is

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n u^{-2})^{-1}(1-q^{n-1}u^2)^{-1}$$

for both spaces, and so the above homomorphism is indeed an isomorphism. Moreover, by construction, the operators $\frac{1}{2}h_{-1}$ and $\widetilde{\partial}$ get identified under this isomorphism.

It remains to show that the formula for E_i in terms of the coordinates $\overline{p}^{(n)}, \overline{q}^{(n)}$ coincides with the formula for $G_i, i = 0, 1$. Let us show that for E_1. We already know that $E_1 \cdot \overline{p} = -1, E_1 \cdot \overline{q} = 0$. On the other hand, in the same way as in [21, 25] we obtain the relation

$$[E_1, \frac{1}{2}h_{-1}] = -\frac{1}{2}f_{\alpha_1}(h_{-1}) \cdot E_1, \tag{7.10}$$

where $f_{\alpha_1}(h_{-1})$ is the function on N_+/H_+, which equals $(\alpha_1, Kh_{-1}K^{-1})$ at $K \in N_+/H_+$. We claim that this function equals $4\widetilde{p}\ \widetilde{q}$. To see this, note that $f_{\alpha_1}(h_{-1})$ has to be proportional to $\widetilde{p}\widetilde{q}$ by degree considerations. To find the coefficient of proportionality, we apply to $f_{\alpha_1}(h_{-1})$ the operator E_1E_0. Our previous computations show that $E_1E_0 \cdot \widetilde{p}\ \widetilde{q} = 1$, while we obtain in a similar fashion: $E_1E_0 \cdot f_{\alpha_1}(h_{-1}) = 4$.

Now formula (7.10) gives us the relation

$$[E_1, \widetilde{\partial}] = -2\widetilde{p}\ \widetilde{q}E_1.$$

Writing

$$E_1 = -\sum_{n\geq 0}\left(\widetilde{B}_n\frac{\partial}{\partial\widetilde{q}^{(n)}} + \widetilde{B}'_n\frac{\partial}{\partial\widetilde{p}^{(n)}}\right),$$

we find the recurrence relations on $\widetilde{B}_n, \widetilde{B}'_n$:

$$\widetilde{B}_{n+1} = -2\widetilde{p}\ \widetilde{q}\widetilde{B}_n + \widetilde{\partial}\widetilde{B}_n$$

with the initial conditions $\widetilde{B}_0 = 1, \widetilde{B}'_0 = 0$. Comparing this with formula (7.7), we obtain that $E_1 = -G_1$. In the same way we show that $E_0 = -G_0$. This completes the proof. □

7.3. **The cohomology.** We can now compute the cohomology of the complex $C_0^*(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$.

Proposition 5. *The cohomology of the complex $C_0^*(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ is isomorphic to $\bigwedge^*(\mathfrak{h}_+^*) \otimes \mathbb{C}[v^{(n)}]_{n\geq 0}$.*

Proof. According to the results of the previous subsection, as an $\mathfrak{n}_+$–module, $W_0 \simeq \mathbb{C}[N_+/H_+] \otimes \mathbb{C}[v^{(n)}]_{n\geq 0}$, where $\mathfrak{n}_+$ acts trivially on the second factor. Therefore the cohomology of the complex $C_0^*(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$, which by Proposition 3 is isomorphic to $H^*(\mathfrak{n}_+, W_0)$, equals $H^*(\mathfrak{n}_+, N_+/H_+) \otimes \mathbb{C}[v^{(n)}]_{n\geq 0}$. By Shapiro's lemma (see [21]), $H^*(\mathfrak{n}_+, N_+/H_+) \simeq \bigwedge^*(\mathfrak{h}_+^*)$. □

Now we want to compute the cohomology of the double complex $\widehat{C}_0^*(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$.
Denote

$$\partial_v = \sum_{n\geq 0} v^{(n+1)} \frac{\partial}{\partial v^{(n)}}.$$

The results of Sect. 7.1 imply that

$$\partial = \frac{1}{2}h_{-1} + \partial_v + \frac{1}{2}vh_0.$$

By Proposition 5, $h_n, n \leq 0$, act trivially on the cohomology of the complex $C_0^*(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$. Hence ∂ acts on a representative of a cohomology class $\omega \otimes P, \omega \in \bigwedge^*(\mathfrak{h}_+^*), P \in \mathbb{C}[v^{(n)}]_{n\geq 0}$, as follows: $\partial \cdot \omega \otimes P = \omega \otimes \partial_v P$. This leads to the following result.

Proposition 6. *The jth cohomology of the complex $\widehat{C}_0^*(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ is isomorphic to $\bigwedge^{j+1}(\mathfrak{h}_+^*)\oplus \bigwedge^j(\mathfrak{h}_+^*) \otimes (\mathbb{C}[v^{(n)}]_{n\geq 0}/\operatorname{Im}\partial_v)$.*

In particular, the zeroth cohomology is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{h}^* \oplus \mathbb{C}[v^{(n)}]_{n\geq 0}/\operatorname{Im}\partial_v$. The second summand consists of classes of the form $\int P$, where $P \in \mathbb{C}[v^{(n)}]_{n\geq 0}$. The classes corresponding to elements of the first summand are constructed as follows.

Let $X \in W_{-\alpha} \oplus W_\alpha$ be a representative of a first cohomology class of the form $\omega \otimes 1 \in \mathfrak{h}_+^* \otimes \mathbb{C}[v^{(n)}]_{n\geq 0}$. Then $\partial X = 0$ in the cohomology, and hence there exists $\widetilde{X} \in W_0$, such that $\delta^0 \widetilde{X} = X$ (we recall that $\delta_0 = G_1^0 + G_0^0$). Here $\widetilde{X}$ is defined only up to an element of $\mathbb{C}[v^{(n)}]_{n\geq 0}$, but there is a representative of h_0–weight 0, which lies in $\mathbb{C}[\widetilde{p}^{(n)}, \widetilde{q}^{(n)}]_{n\geq 0}$, and is unique up to a total $\widetilde{\partial}$–derivative. Note that $\widetilde{X}$ can not lie in the image of ∂ (and hence $\widetilde{\partial}$), because otherwise X would also be in the image of ∂. Therefore $\int h \neq 0$ defines a zeroth cohomology class of $\widehat{C}_0^*(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$.

Now let $\widetilde{X}_n, n < 0$, be the element of $\widetilde{W}_0$, which corresponds to the first cohomology class $h_{-n}^* \otimes 1$ of $C_0^*(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ via the isomorphism of Proposition 6. Let $\eta_n = \xi(\widetilde{X}_n)$. This is a derivation of W_0, which commutes with ∂. It also has the following commutation relations with G_1 and G_0:

$$[G_1, \eta_n] = -2\frac{\delta \widetilde{X}_n}{\delta u} G_1, \quad [G_0, \eta_n] = 2\frac{\delta \widetilde{X}_n}{\delta u} G_0.$$

Following [21] it is easy to describe all derivations ξ of W_0, which commute with ∂ and have commutation relations of the form

$$[G_i, \xi] = (-1)^i f_\xi G_i,$$

for some $f_\xi \in W_0$, with $G_i, i = 0, 1$.

Lemma 6. *The vector space of such derivations is the direct sum of $\mathfrak{h}_-$ and the space of derivations of the form*

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} (\partial^{n+1}) P \frac{\partial}{\partial v^{(n)}} + \frac{1}{2} P h_0, \qquad P \in \mathbb{C}[v^{(n)}]_{n\geq 0}.$$

Corollary 2.

$$\eta_n = \alpha_n h_{-n} + \sum_{n\geq 0} \partial^{n+1} P \frac{\partial}{\partial v^{(n)}} + \frac{1}{2} P h_0$$

for some $\alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}^\times, P \in \mathbb{C}[v^{(n)}]_{n\geq 0}$. *In particular,* $\{\int \widetilde{X}_n, \int \widetilde{X}_m\} = [\eta_n, \eta_m] = 0$ *for all* $n, m > 0$.

The Corollary means that the action of $\mathfrak{h}_-$ on W_0 is hamiltonian.

7.4. **The zero curvature formalism.** The evolutionary derivations of $\mathbb{C}[\widetilde{p}^{(n)}, \widetilde{q}^{(n)}]$ coming from the action of $\overline{\mathfrak{h}}_-$ can be written down explicitly in the zero curvature form. This is explained in detail in [11, 25] in the case of the KdV and mKdV hierarchies, and the results carry over directly to our case. The zero curvature equation corresponding to the element $\frac{1}{2}h_{-n}, n > 0$, of $\overline{\mathfrak{h}}_-$ reads:

$$[\partial_z + (K\frac{1}{2}h_{-1}K^{-1})_-, \partial_{\tau_n} + (K\frac{1}{2}h_{-n}K^{-1})_-] = 0, \qquad K \in N_+/H_+. \tag{7.11}$$

Here for $A \in \overline{\mathfrak{g}}$, A_- stands for the projection of A onto the $\mathfrak{b}_-$ part of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{b}_- \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$. According to the computations made in the proof of Proposition 4, we have:

$$(K\frac{1}{2}h_{-1}K^{-1})_- = \begin{pmatrix} pq + \frac{1}{2}t^{-1} & -qt^{-1} \\ p & -pq - \frac{1}{2}t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

(to simplify notation, we remove the tildes from p and q). On the other hand, for each $n > 0$, $(K\frac{1}{2}h_{-n}K^{-1})_-$ is a matrix, whose entries are polynomials in t^{-1} with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}[p^{(n)}, q^{(n)}]$. Therefore formula (7.11) defines a derivation of $\mathbb{C}[p^{(n)}, q^{(n)}]$. The first of the equations, with $n = 1$, tells us that $\tau_1 = z$, as expected. Straightforward calculation gives:

$$(K\frac{1}{2}h_{-1}K^{-1})_- =$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} -2pq' + 2p'q - 2p^2q^2 + pqt^{-1} + \frac{1}{2}t^{-2} & q' - qt^{-2} \\ p' + pt^{-1} & 2pq' - 2p'q + 2p^2q^2 - pqt^{-1} - \frac{1}{2}t^{-2} \end{pmatrix}$$

(here p' stands for ∂p). Substituting this into formula (7.11) we obtain the equation, corresponding to $n = 2$:

$$\partial_{\tau_2} p = p'' - 2p^3q^2 - 2p^2q', \qquad \partial_{\tau_2} q = -q'' + 2q^3p^2 - q^2p'. \tag{7.12}$$

Now recall from Theorem 3 that the kernel of the screening operator G_1 in W_0 is $\mathbb{C}[E^{(n)}, H^{(n)}, F^{(n)}]_{n\geq 0}$, where $E = p, H = v = u - 2pq, F = -pq^2 + uq + q'$. But G_1^0 commutes with $v^{(n)} = H^{(n)}$ and preserves $\widetilde{W}_0 = \mathbb{C}[\widetilde{p}^{(n)}, \widetilde{q}^{(n)}]$. Therefore we obtain that the kernel of G_1^0 in $\widetilde{W}_0$ equals $\mathbb{C}[\widetilde{E}^{(n)}, \widetilde{F}^{(n)}]_{n\geq 0}$, where

$$\widetilde{E} = \widetilde{p}, \qquad \widetilde{F} = \widetilde{p}\,\widetilde{q}^2 + \widetilde{q}'.$$

The derivations ∂_{τ_n} commute with G_1^0 and hence define evolutionary derivations of $\mathbb{C}[\widetilde{E}^{(n)}, \widetilde{F}^{(n)}]_{n\geq 0}$, which we denote by the same symbols. In particular, we find from formula (7.12) the following formula for ∂_{τ_2} (we again omit tildes to simplify notation):

$$\partial_{\tau_2} E = E'' - 2E^2F, \qquad \partial_{\tau_2} F = -F'' + 2F^2E.$$

This is the AKNS equation. Its reduction obtained by identifying F with $\overline{E}$ and replacing τ_2 with $i\tau_2$ is the non-linear Schrödinger (nlS) equation

$$i\partial_{\tau_2} = E'' - 2E|E|^2.$$

Therefore the derivations ∂_{τ_n}, acting on $\mathbb{C}[\widetilde{E}^{(n)}, \widetilde{F}^{(n)}]_{n\geq 0}$, define the AKNS hierarchy. Because of that, it is natural to call the equation (7.12), the modified AKNS (mAKNS) equation, and the hierarchy of the derivations ∂_{τ_n} of $\mathbb{C}[\widetilde{E}^{(n)}, \widetilde{F}^{(n)}]_{n\geq 0}$, the mAKNS hierarchy.

The AKNS hierarchy also has a zero curvature representation (7.11), where now A_- stands for the projection of $A \in \mathfrak{g}$ onto the $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}[t^{-1}]$ part of the decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \overline{\mathfrak{g}}[t^{-1}] \oplus (\overline{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes t\mathbb{C}[t])$, and $K \in \exp(\overline{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes t\mathbb{C}[t])/H_+$. In particular, we then have the well-known AKNS L–operator

$$\partial_z + (K\frac{1}{2}h_{-1}K^{-1})_- = \partial_z + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}t^{-1} & F \\ E & -\frac{1}{2}t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Finally, the non-local equation (7.1) can also be written in the zero curvature form:

$$\left[\partial_z + \begin{pmatrix} pq + \frac{1}{2}t^{-1} & -qt^{-1} \\ p & -pq - \frac{1}{2}t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \partial_\tau + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{-2\int^z pqdz} \\ te^{2\int^z pqdz} & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right] = 0.$$

The equations of the mAKNS hierarchy are symmetries of this equation.

8. Classical limit for an arbitrary $\mathfrak{g}$

The results of this section can be generalized to the case of an arbitrary (non-twisted) affine algebra $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$. In this case N_+/H_+ also carries a good system of coordinates, in which the infinitesimal action of $\mathfrak{h}_-$ becomes a set of evolutionary derivations. They define a completely integrable system, which is an analogue of the AKNS hierarchy. Moreover, with respect to these coordinates, the generators $E_i, i = 0, \dots, \ell$, of $\mathfrak{n}_+$ become the classical limits of the screening operators G_i^ν.

8.1. **Screening operators in a special coordinate system.** Let us fix generators e_α, f_α of the one-dimensional subspaces $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_\alpha \subset \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{-\alpha} \subset \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_-$, respectively, such that $(e_\alpha, f_\alpha) = 1$. In that case $[e_\alpha, f_\alpha] = \alpha$, where we identify $\overline{\mathfrak{h}}$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{h}}^*$ using the inner product on $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$, such that $(\alpha_{\max}, \alpha_{\max}) = 2$.

First we introduce a special coordinate system $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Delta_+}$ on the finite-dimensional unipotent group $\overline{N}_+$, with respect to which the right action of $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_+$ becomes particularly simple. Let $\rho^\vee$ be the element of $\overline{\mathfrak{h}}$, such that $(\rho^\vee, \alpha_i) = 1, \forall i = 1, \dots, \ell$. Denote by x_α the regular function on $\overline{N}_+$ defined by the formula

$$x_\alpha(\overline{K}) = -(f_\alpha, \overline{K}^{-1}\rho^\vee\overline{K}), \qquad \overline{K} \in \overline{N}_+.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}(e_i^R \cdot x_{\alpha_i})(\overline{K}) &= -(f_{\alpha_i}, [\overline{K}^{-1}\rho^\vee\overline{K}, e_i]) = -([e_{\alpha_i}, f_{\alpha_i}], \overline{K}^{-1}\rho^\vee\overline{K}) \\ &= -(\alpha_i, \overline{K}^{-1}\rho^\vee\overline{K}) = -1.\end{aligned}$$

Let us write

$$[e_\alpha, e_\beta] = -c_{\alpha,\beta}e_{\alpha+\beta}.$$

Then

$$[e_i, f_\alpha] = c_{\alpha_i,\alpha-\alpha_i}\ f_{\alpha-\alpha_i}, \qquad \alpha \neq \alpha_i.$$

Now we obtain in the same way as above:

$$e_i^R \cdot x_\alpha = c_{\alpha_i,\alpha-\alpha_i}\ x_{\alpha-\alpha_i}, \qquad \alpha \neq \alpha_i.$$

Hence

$$e_i^R = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha_i}} + \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta_+} c_{\alpha_i,\alpha}\ x_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha+\alpha_i}}.$$

Moreover, we find that

$$e_\alpha^R = -(\rho^\vee,\alpha)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha} + \sum_{\beta\in\Delta_+} c_{\alpha,\beta} x_\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha+\beta}}, \tag{8.1}$$

$$h_i^L = -\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta_+} (\alpha_i,\alpha) x_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha}.$$

We use the above coordinates to construct the screening operators, as in Sect. 2. Their classical limits as $\nu \to 0$ are

$$\overline{G}_i^0 = \left\{ \cdot, \int \left(-p_{\alpha_i} + \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta_+} c_{\alpha_i,\alpha}\ q_\alpha p_{\alpha+\alpha_i} \right) e^{-\phi_i} \right\} \tag{8.2}$$

Next we define the 0th screening operator. According to Sect. 6.2 it has the form $P_x(a_\alpha^*(z))V_{-\alpha_0}^\nu$, where $P_x(x_\alpha) \in \mathbb{C}[\overline{N}_+]$ represents an element of $M_{\alpha_{\max}}^* \simeq \mathbb{C}[\overline{N}_+]$ of weight 0, which satisfies the conditions of Conjecture 2. It is straightforward to check that the element $x_{\alpha_{\max}}$ satisfies these conditions. In the classical limit we obtain the following formula for the 0th screening operator:

$$\overline{G}_0^0 = \left\{ \cdot, \int q_{\alpha_{\max}} e^{-\phi_0} \right\}.$$

The operators $\sum_{i=1}^\ell \overline{G}_i^0$ and $\sum_{i=0}^\ell \overline{G}_i^0$ define non-local Toda type equations. Here are the explicit formulas for the second of these equations:

$$\partial_\tau q_{\alpha_j} = e^{-\phi_j}, \qquad \partial_\tau q_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^\ell c_{\alpha_i,\alpha-\alpha_i} q_{\alpha-\alpha_i} e^{-\phi_i}, \quad \alpha \in \Delta_+ \backslash \Delta_+^s,$$

$$\partial_\tau p_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^\ell c_{\alpha_i,\alpha} e^{-\phi_i} + e^{-\phi_0}\delta_{\alpha,\alpha_{\max}}, \qquad \alpha \in \Delta_+, \tag{8.3}$$

$$\partial_\tau \partial_z \phi_j = \sum_{i=1}^\ell (\alpha_i,\alpha_j) \left(p_{\alpha_i} - \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta_+} c_{\alpha_i,\alpha} q_\alpha p_{\alpha+\alpha_i} \right) e^{-\phi_i} - (\alpha_j,\alpha_0) q_{\alpha_{\max}} e^{-\phi_0},$$

$j = 1, \ldots, \ell$.

Let

$$v_i = u_i - \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta_+} (\alpha_i,\alpha) q_\alpha p_\alpha. \tag{8.4}$$

One finds that $G_i^0 \cdot v_j = 0, \forall i,j$. Therefore we can further reduce the system (8.3) by setting $u_i = \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta_+}(\alpha_i,\alpha)q_\alpha p_\alpha$.

The classical screening operators give rise to derivations of the ring of differential polynomials $W_0 = \mathbb{C}[p_\alpha^{(n)}, q_\alpha^{(n)}, u_i^{(n)}]$, which we denote by $G_i, i = 0, \dots, \ell$. We know that $G_i \cdot v_j = 0, \forall i,j,n$. In the same way as in the case of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ we can use this fact to separate variables.

Each $h \in \overline{\mathfrak{h}}$ acts on W_0 in a natural way: $h \cdot p_\alpha^{(n)} = \alpha(h)p_\alpha^{(n)}, h \cdot q_\alpha^{(n)} = -\alpha(h)q_\alpha^{(n)}, h \cdot u_i^{(n)} = 0$. Denote by $\{h^j\}_{j=1,\dots,\ell}$, the dual basis to $\{\alpha_i\}_{j=1,\dots,\ell}$ with respect to the normalized inner product on $\overline{\mathfrak{h}}$. Let $\widetilde{\partial} = \partial - \sum_{i=1}^\ell v_i h^i$, and define new variables $\widetilde{p}_\alpha^{(n)} = \widetilde{\partial}^n p_\alpha, \widetilde{q}_\alpha^{(n)} = \widetilde{\partial}^n q_\alpha$. In the same way as in Sect. 7.1, one shows that $W_0 = \mathbb{C}[\widetilde{p}_\alpha^{(n)}, \widetilde{q}_\alpha^{(n)}] \otimes \mathbb{C}[v_i^{(n)}]$, and that the derivations G_i act along the first factor of this tensor product.

Furthermore, we find, in the same way as in Sect. 7.1, the following explicit formulas for the action of $G_i, i = 1, \dots, \ell$, on $\mathbb{C}[\widetilde{p}_\alpha^{(n)}, \widetilde{q}_\alpha^{(n)}]$:

$$G_i = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta_+} \left(B_{\alpha,n}^{(i)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \widetilde{q}_\alpha^{(n)}} + B_{-\alpha,n}^{(i)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \widetilde{p}_\alpha^{(n)}} \right), \tag{8.5}$$

where the polynomials $B_{\pm\alpha,n}$ are defined recursively as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &B_{0,\alpha_j}^{(i)} = \delta_{i,j}; \qquad B_{0,\alpha}^{(i)} = -c_{\alpha_i,\alpha-\alpha_i}\widetilde{q}_{\alpha-\alpha_i}, \quad \alpha \in \Delta_+\backslash\Delta_+^s, \\ &B_{-\alpha,0}^{(i)} = c_{\alpha_i,\alpha}\widetilde{p}_{\alpha+\alpha_i}, \\ &B_{\pm\alpha,n}^{(i)} = \widetilde{\partial}B_{\pm\alpha,n-1}^{(i)} - U_i B_{n-1}^{\pm}, \qquad n > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$U_i = \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta_+} (\alpha_i,\alpha)\widetilde{p}_\alpha\widetilde{q}_\alpha. \tag{8.6}$$

We also find a formula for G_0:

$$G_0 = \sum_{n=0}^\infty B_n^{(0)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \widetilde{p}_{\alpha_{\max}}^{(n)}}, \tag{8.7}$$

where

$$B_0^{(0)} = 1, \qquad B_n^{(0)} = \widetilde{\partial}B_{n-1}^{(0)} - U_0 B_{n-1}^{(0)},$$

with U_0 given by formula (8.6).

8.2. **Isomorphism with $\mathbb{C}[N_+/H_+]$.** Now we can identify the ring of differential polynomials $\mathbb{C}[\widetilde{p}_\alpha^{(n)}, \widetilde{q}_\alpha^{(n)}]$ with $\mathbb{C}[N_+/H_+]$, where H_+ is the subgroup of N_+, which is the image of the Lie algebra $\overline{\mathfrak{h}} \otimes t\mathbb{C}[[t]]$ under the exponential map. Observe that the Lie algebra $\overline{\mathfrak{h}} \otimes t^{-1}\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}]$ acts on $\mathbb{C}[N_+/H_+]$ from the right. Denote by $\rho_{-1}^\vee$ the element $\rho^\vee \otimes t^{-1}$ of $\overline{\mathfrak{h}} \otimes t^{-1}\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}]$. Let $E_i = e_i \otimes 1, i = 1, \dots, \ell$, and $E_0 = f_{\alpha_{\max}} \otimes t$ be the generators of $\mathfrak{n}_+$.

Proposition 7. *There exists an isomorphism of rings $\mathbb{C}[\widetilde{p}^{(n)}, \widetilde{q}^{(n)}]_{n\geq 0} \simeq \mathbb{C}[N_+/H_+]$, under which $E_i = -G_i, i = 0, \dots, \ell$, and $\rho_{-1}^\vee = \widetilde{\partial}$.*

Proof. The proof proceeds along the lines of the proof of Proposition 4. We introduce a system of coordinates on N_+/H_+ and then find formulas for the action of $E_i = -G_i, i = 0, \dots, \ell$, and $\rho_{-1}^\vee$ in these coordinates.

Introduce the following regular functions $\overline{p}_\alpha$, $\overline{q}_\alpha$ on N_+/H_+:

$$\overline{p}_\alpha(K) = -(e_\alpha \otimes 1, K\rho_{-1}^\vee K^{-1}), \qquad K \in N_+/H_+,$$
$$\overline{q}_\alpha(K) = -(f_\alpha \otimes t, K\rho_{-1}^\vee K^{-1}), \qquad K \in N_+/H_+.$$

Straightforward computation analogous to that made in the proof of Proposition 4 gives for $i = 1, \dots, \ell$:

$$E_i \cdot \overline{q}_\alpha = -\delta_{i,j}; \qquad E_i \cdot \overline{q}_\alpha = c_{\alpha_i, \alpha-\alpha_i}\, \overline{q}_{\alpha-\alpha_i}, \quad \alpha \in \Delta_+ \backslash \Delta_+^s,$$
$$E_i \cdot \overline{p}_\alpha = -c_{\alpha_i,\alpha}\, \overline{p}_{\alpha+\alpha_i},$$

and

$$E_0 \cdot \overline{q}_\alpha = 0, \qquad E_0 \cdot \overline{p}_\alpha = (\alpha_{\max}, \rho^\vee)\delta_{\alpha,\alpha_{\max}} - c_{\alpha,\alpha_{\max}-\alpha} q_{\alpha_{\max}-\alpha}. \tag{8.8}$$

Now let $\overline{p}_\alpha^{(n)} = (\rho_{-1}^\vee)^n \cdot \overline{p}_\alpha^{(n)}, \overline{q}_\alpha^{(n)} = (\rho_{-1}^\vee)^n \cdot \overline{q}_\alpha^{(n)}, n \geq 0$. We show in the same way as in the proof of Proposition 4 that these functions are algebraically independent. Here we rely only on the fact that that $\rho^\vee$ is a regular semi-simple element of $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Next we define a homomorphism from $\mathbb{C}[\widetilde{p}_\alpha^{(n)}, \widetilde{q}_\alpha^{(n)}]$ to $\mathbb{C}[N_+/H_+]$. It intertwines the actions of $\widetilde{\partial}$ and $\rho_{-1}^\vee$ and maps each $\widetilde{q}_\alpha$ to $\overline{q}_\alpha$. It also maps each $\widetilde{p}_\alpha$ to a polynomial in $\overline{p}_\alpha, \overline{q}_\alpha$ (also denoted by $\widetilde{p}_\alpha$), such that

$$\overline{p}_\alpha = (\alpha, \rho^\vee)\widetilde{p}_\alpha - \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_+} c_{\alpha,\beta} \overline{q}_\alpha \widetilde{p}_{\alpha+\beta} \tag{8.9}$$

(cf. formula (8.1)). It is clear that such polynomials exist, are unique and that the above homomorphism is injective. To prove that it is an isomorphism, we use the equality of characters of the two spaces, as in the proof of Proposition 4.

Finally, we need to show that the action of E_i on $\mathbb{C}[N_+/A_+]$ coincides with the action of $-G_i$ on $\mathbb{C}[\widetilde{p}_\alpha^{(n)}, \widetilde{q}_\alpha^{(n)}]$. But the actions of E_i and $-G_i$ on $\overline{q}_\alpha = \widetilde{q}_\alpha$ coincide. The action of $E_i, i = 1, \dots, \ell$, on $\widetilde{p}_\alpha$ is given by the same formula as the action on $\overline{p}_\alpha$. Comparing with formula (8.5) we again find agreement between the actions of E_i and $-G_i$. The same is true for E_0 and $-G_0$ as formulas (8.8), (8.7) and (8.9) show.

We also have:

$$[E_i, \rho_{-1}^\vee] = -f_{\alpha_i} \cdot E_i, \tag{8.10}$$

where $f_{\alpha_i}(K) = (\alpha_i, K\rho_{-1}^\vee K^{-1}), K \in N_+/H_+$. It is easy to see that

$$f_{\alpha_i} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} (\alpha_i, \alpha) p_\alpha q_\alpha.$$

Formula (8.10) gives us a recurrence relation on the coefficients of the derivations E_i, which coincides with that on the coefficients of the derivations G_i given by formulas (8.5), (8.7). We have shown above that their first coefficients differ by sign, and therefore we obtain that $E_i = -G_i$. This completes the proof. □

Remark 8.1. In the above isomorphism the element $\rho^\vee$ of $\overline{\mathfrak{h}}$ can be replaced by any regular element of $\overline{\mathfrak{h}}$. In that case we need to change accordingly the formulas for the coordinates p_α, q_α. Moreover, with appropriate changes all results of this section will remain true if we replace $\rho^\vee$ by any regular element of $\overline{\mathfrak{h}}$. □

8.3. **Integrable hierarchies.** Recall that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_- = \overline{\mathfrak{h}} \otimes t^{-1}\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}]$ acts on $\mathbb{C}[N_+/H_+]$ by derivations. Hence we obtain an infinite hierarchy of commuting evolutionary (i.e., commuting with $\widetilde{\partial} = \rho^\vee_{-1}$) derivations on $\mathbb{C}[\widetilde{p}^{(n)}_\alpha, \widetilde{q}^{(n)}_\alpha]$. We call it the modified AKNS hierarchy associated to $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$, or $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$–*mAKNS hierarchy* for shorthand.

The above derivations preserve the subring $\mathbb{C}[\overline{N}_+\backslash N_+/H_+]$ of $\overline{N}_+$–invariants of $\mathbb{C}[N_+/H_+]$. This subring equals the intersection of kernels of the operators $G_i, i = 1, \dots, \ell$, in $\mathbb{C}[\widetilde{p}^{(n)}_\alpha, \widetilde{q}^{(n)}_\alpha]$. The latter is isomorphic to the quotient of the ring $K_0(\overline{\mathfrak{g}}) = \mathbb{C}[E^{(n)}_\alpha, H^{(n)}_i, F^{(n)}_\alpha]$ introduced in Theorem 3 by the ideal generated by $H^{(n)}_i$. Hence it is also isomorphic to a ring of differential polynomials $\mathbb{C}[\widetilde{E}^{(n)}_\alpha, \widetilde{F}^{(n)}_\alpha]$, where $\widetilde{E}^{(n)}_\alpha$ and $\widetilde{F}^{(n)}_\alpha$ are certain polynomials in $\widetilde{p}^{(m)}_\beta, \widetilde{q}^{(m)}_\beta$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_-$ acts on $\mathbb{C}[\widetilde{E}^{(n)}_\alpha, \widetilde{F}^{(n)}_\alpha]$ by evolutionary derivations. They form a hierarchy, which we call the $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$–*AKNS hierarchy*. It has been previously studied in the literature under the name AKNS–D hierarchy (see [8]).

The equations of both hierarchies can be written in the zero curvature form as follows. The equation of the $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$–mAKNS hierarchy corresponding to an element $y \in \mathfrak{h}_-$ reads

$$[\partial_z + (K\rho^\vee_{-1}K^{-1})^-, \partial_\tau + (KyK^{-1})^-] = 0, \qquad K \in N_+/H_+.$$

where A^- denotes the projection of $A \in \mathfrak{g}$ onto the $\mathfrak{b}_-$ part of the decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{b}_- \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$.

The equation of the $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$–AKNS hierarchy corresponding to $y \in \mathfrak{h}_-$ reads

$$[\partial_z + (K\rho^\vee_{-1}K^{-1})_-, \partial_\tau + (KyK^{-1})_-] = 0.$$

where A_- stands for the projection of $A \in \mathfrak{g}$ onto the $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}[t^{-1}]$ part of the decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \overline{\mathfrak{g}}[t^{-1}] \oplus (\overline{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes t\mathbb{C}[t])$, and $K \in \exp(\overline{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes t\mathbb{C}[t])/H_+$. In the next subsection we will show that both hierarchies are hamiltonian.

Note also that the non-local equations introduced above can also be written in the zero-curvature form (in the case of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ see the end of Sect. 7.4). The equations of the $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$–mAKNS hierarchy are symmetries of the non-local equation (8.3).

8.4. **Cohomology computation.** In the same way as in the case of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ we obtain the following result.

Proposition 8. *The cohomology of the complex $C^*_0(\mathfrak{g})$ is isomorphic to $H^*(\mathfrak{n}_+, W_0)$ and hence to $\bigwedge^*(\mathfrak{h}^*_+) \otimes \mathbb{C}[v^{(n)}_i]_{i=1,\dots,\ell;n\geq 0}$.*

Now we can to compute the cohomology of the double complex $\widehat{C}^*_0(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$.

We have

$$\partial = \rho^\vee_{-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} v_i h^i + \partial_v,$$

where

$$\partial_v = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \sum_{n \geq 0} v_i^{(n+1)} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_i^{(n)}}.$$

In the same way as in the case of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ we obtain:

Proposition 9. *The jth cohomology of the complex $\widehat{C}_0^*(\mathfrak{g})$ is isomorphic to $\bigwedge^{j+1}(\mathfrak{h}_+^*) \oplus \bigwedge^j(\mathfrak{h}_+^*) \otimes (\mathbb{C}[v_i^{(n)}]/\operatorname{Im}\partial_v)$.*

In particular, the zeroth cohomology is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{h}^* \oplus \mathbb{C}[v_i^{(n)}]/\operatorname{Im}\partial_v$. The classes corresponding to elements of $\mathfrak{h}^*$ are constructed as in the case of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$.

Let $\{h^i\}_{i=1,\dots\ell;n<0}$ be a basis of $\overline{\mathfrak{h}}$. Then $\{h_n^i\}_{i=1,\dots,\ell;n>0}$, where $h_n^i = h^i \otimes t^{-n}$ is a basis of $\overline{\mathfrak{h}} \otimes t^{-1}\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}]$. Denote by $\widetilde{X}_n^i \in W_0$, the representative of the zeroth cohomology class of $\widehat{C}_0^*(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$, which corresponds to the first cohomology class $(h_{-n}^i)^* \otimes 1$ of $C_0^*(\mathfrak{g})$ via the isomorphism of Proposition 9. Let $\eta_n^i = \xi(\widetilde{X}_n^i)$. This is a derivation of W_0, which commutes with ∂ and has the commutation relations with the operators G_i of the form

$$[G_i, \xi] = f_{\alpha_i}^{\xi} G_i, \qquad i = 0, \dots, \ell, \tag{8.11}$$

for some $f_{\alpha_i}^{\xi} \in W_0$ (in the case of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ these relations are given in Sect. 7.3).

Following [21] it is easy to describe all such derivations ξ of W_0.

Lemma 7. *The vector space of derivations ξ of W_0 satisfying commutation relations* (8.11) *is the direct sum of $\mathfrak{h}_-$ and the space of derivations of the form*

$$\xi(\{P_j\}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \sum_{n \geq 0} (\partial^{n+1} P_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial v_i^{(n)}} + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} P_i h^i, \qquad P_j \in \mathbb{C}[v_i^{(n)}].$$

Corollary 3.

$$\eta_n^i = \alpha_n^i h_{-n}^i + \xi(\{P_j\})$$

for some $\alpha_n^i \in \mathbb{C}^\times, P_j \in \mathbb{C}[v_i^{(n)}]$. Therefore $\{\int \widetilde{X}_n^i, \int \widetilde{X}_m^j\} = [\eta_n^i, \eta_m^j] = 0$ for all i, j, n, m.

The Corollary implies that the $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$–mAKNS and $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$–AKNS hierarchies introduced above are completely integrable hamiltonian systems.

References

[1] M.J. Ablowitz, D.J. Kaup, A.C. Newell and H. Segur, *The inverse scattering transform-Fourier analysis for nonlinear problems*, Studies in Appl. Math. **53** (1974) 249–315.

[2] A.V. Antonov, A.A. Belov and B.L. Feigin, *Geometrical description of the local integrals of motion of Maxwell-Bloch equation*, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A10** (1995) 1209-1224.

[3] H. Awata, A. Tsuchiya and Y. Yamada, *Integral formulas for the WZNW correlation functions*, Nucl. Phys. **B365** (1991) 680-696.

[4] D. Ben-Zvi and E. Frenkel, *Spectral curves, opers and integrable systems*, Preprint math.AG/9902068.

[5] R. Borcherds, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *Vertex algebras, Kac–Moody algebras, and the Monster*, **83** (1986) 3068-3071.

[6] P. Bouwknegt, J. McCarthy and K. Pilch, *Quantum group structure in the Fock space resolutions of $\widehat{\mathrm{sl}}(n)$ representation*, Comm. Math. Phys. **131** (1990) 125-155.
[7] P. Bouwknegt, J. McCarthy and K. Pilch, *Some aspects of free field resolutions in 2D CFT with applications to Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction*, in Strings and Symmetries, eds. N. Berkovits, e.a., pp. 407-422. World Scientific, 1992.
[8] L.A. Dickey, *On Segal-Wilson's definition of the τ-function and hierarchies AKNS-D and mcKP*, in Integrable systems (Luminy, 1991), pp. 147–161, Progr. Math., **115**, Birkhauser 1993.
[9] V.G. Drinfeld and V.V. Sokolov, *Equations of KdV type and simple Lie algebras*, Sov. Math. Dokl. **23** (1981) 457-462; *Lie algebras and equations of KdV type*, J. Sov. Math. **30** (1985) 1975-2035.
[10] T. Eguchi and S.-K. Yang, *Deformations of conformal field theories and soliton equations*, Phys. Lett. **224B** (1989) 373-378.
[11] B. Enriquez and E. Frenkel, *Equivalence of two approaches to integrable hierarchies of KdV type*, Comm. Math. Phys. **185** (1997) 211–230.
[12] B. Enriquez and E. Frenkel, *Geometric interpretation of the Poisson structure in affine Toda field theories*, Duke Math. J. **92** (1998) 459–495.
[13] L.D. Faddeev and L.A. Takhtajan, Hamiltonian Methods in the Theory of Solitons, Springer, 1987
[14] V.A. Fateev, *Integrable deformations in Z_N–symmetrical models of the conformal quantum field theory*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A6** (1991) 2109-2132.
[15] V.A. Fateev and A.B. Zamolodchikov, *Nonlocal (parafermion) currents in two-dimensional conformal quantum field theory and self-dual critical points in Z_N–symmetric statistical systems*, Sov. Phys. JETP **62** (1985) 215-225.
[16] B. Feigin and E. Frenkel, *A family of representations of affine Lie algebras*, Russ. Math. Surv. **43**, N5 (1988) 227-228; *Affine Kac-Moody algebras and semi-infinite flag manifolds*, Comm. Math. Phys. **128** (1990) 161-189.
[17] B. Feigin and E. Frenkel, *Affine Kac-Moody algebras, bosonization and resolutions*, Lett. Math. Phys. **19** (1990) 307-317; *Affine Kac-Moody algebras and bosonization*, in Physics and Mathematics of Strings, V.G. Knizhnik Memorial Volume, pp. 271-316, World Scientific 1990.
[18] B. Feigin and E. Frenkel, *Affine Kac-Moody algebras and Gelfand-Dikii algebras*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A7**, Supplement 1A (1992) 197-215.
[19] B. Feigin and E. Frenkel, *Quantization of the Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction*, Phys. Lett. **B276** (1992) 79-86.
[20] B. Feigin and E. Frenkel, *Integrals of motion and quantum groups*, in Lect. Notes in Math. **1620**, pp. 349-418, Springer Verlag, 1995.
[21] B. Feigin and E. Frenkel, *Kac-Moody groups and soliton equations* Invent. Math. **120** (1995) 379-408.
[22] B. Feigin, E. Frenkel and N. Reshetikhin, *Gaudin model, Bethe Ansatz and critical level*, Comm. Math. Phys. **166** (1994) 27-62.
[23] E. Frenkel, *Affine Kac-Moody algebras at the critical level and quantum Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction*, Ph.D. Thesis, Harvard University, 1991.
[24] E. Frenkel, *Free field resolutions in representation theory and conformal field theory*, in Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Zürich 1994, pp. 1256-1269, Birkhäuser 1995.
[25] E. Frenkel, *Five lectures on soliton equations*, Preprint q-alg/9712005.
[26] I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster, Academic Press 1988.
[27] I. Frenkel and Y. Zhu, *Vertex operator algebras associated to representations of affine and Virasoro algebras*, Duke Math. Journal **66** (1992) 123-168.
[28] I.M. Gelfand and L.A. Dickey, *Asymptotic behavior of the resolvent of the Sturm-Liouville equations and the algebra of the Korteweg–de Vries equations*, Russ. Math. Surv. **30**, N5 (1975) 77-113.
[29] I.M. Gelfand and L.A. Dickey, *A Lie algebra structure in a formal variations calculus*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **10** (1976) 16-22.
[30] T. Hollowood and P. Mansfield, *Rational conformal field theories at, and away from, criticality as Toda field theories*, Phys. Lett. **226B** (1989) 73-79.
[31] V. Kac, Infinite-dimensional Lie Algebras, 3rd Edition, Cambridge University Press 1990.

[32] L. Toppan, *Generalized NLS Hierarchies from Rational W Algebras*, Phys. Lett. **B237** (1994) 249; $N = 1, 2$ *Super-NLS Hierarchies as Super-KP Coset Reductions*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A10** (1995) 895-922.

[33] V. Schechtman and A. Varchenko, *Quantum groups and homology of local systems*, in Algebraic Geometry and Analytic Geometry, ICM-90 Satellite Conference Proceedings (eds. M. Kashiwara, T. Miwa), pp. 182-191, Springer 1991.

[34] J. Schiff, *The Nonlinear Schrodinger Equation and Conserved Quantities in the Deformed Parafermion and $SL(2, R)/U(1)$ Coset Models*, Preprint hep-th/9210029.

[35] A. Varchenko, Multidimensional hypergeometric functions and representation theory of Lie algebras and quantum groups, Adv. Ser. in Math. Phys. 21, World Scientific 1995.

[36] M. Wakimoto, *Fock representations of the affine Lie algebra $A_1^{(1)}$*, Comm. Math. Phys. **104** (1986) 605-609.

[37] A. Zamolodchikov, *Integrable field theory from conformal field theory*, Adv. Stud. in Pure Math. **19** (1989) 641-674.

Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kosygina St 2, Moscow 117940, Russia

Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA